



Department of Digital Business

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business (RIGGS)

Homepage: <https://journal.ilmudata.co.id/index.php/RIGGS>

Vol. 4 No. 3 (2025) pp: 7240-7248

P-ISSN: 2963-9298, e-ISSN: 2963-914X

From Banks to Apps: How Fintech Lending is Reshaping Financial Institutions

Imama Zuchroh¹, Muniroh²

^{1,2}Faculty of Economics, Malangkuçęwara School of Economics (MCE), Malang

zuchroh1974@gmail.com, muniroh@stie-mce.ac.id

Abstrack

The emergence of financial technology has fundamentally transformed the traditional lending landscape, challenging centuries-old banking paradigms and creating new competitive dynamics within the financial services sector. This qualitative descriptive study examines how fintech lending platforms are reshaping financial institutions across global markets, analyzing the multidimensional impact on traditional banks, credit unions, and other financial intermediaries. Through systematic analysis of industry developments, regulatory changes, and institutional responses from 2015 to 2025, this research explores the comprehensive transformation occurring within the financial services ecosystem. The study reveals that fintech lending has catalyzed significant changes in operational models, customer engagement strategies, risk assessment methodologies, and competitive positioning of traditional financial institutions. The research demonstrates that rather than merely displacing traditional banks, fintech lending has forced a fundamental reimagining of how financial services are conceived, delivered, and regulated. Key findings indicate that successful financial institutions are those that have embraced hybrid approaches, combining traditional banking strengths with fintech innovations to create enhanced value propositions for their customers. The study also reveals significant variations in adaptation strategies across different geographic regions and institutional sizes, with larger banks generally having more resources to invest in transformation initiatives while smaller institutions often pursue partnership strategies. The research concludes that the future of financial services will be characterized by ecosystem-based approaches where traditional boundaries between banks and technology companies become increasingly blurred, requiring new regulatory frameworks and business models to address emerging challenges and opportunities in the digital financial landscape.

Keywords: Fintech Lending, Financial Transformation, Digital Banking, Traditional Banking, Financial Technology, Lending Platforms

1. Introduction

The financial services industry stands at an unprecedented inflection point, where traditional banking institutions that have dominated credit markets for centuries face existential challenges from technology-driven lending platforms. This transformation represents far more than a simple technological upgrade; it constitutes a fundamental reimagining of how financial intermediation functions in the modern economy. The rise of fintech lending platforms has disrupted established business models, challenged regulatory frameworks, and redefined customer expectations across the global financial landscape.

The magnitude of this transformation becomes apparent when examining the rapid growth trajectory of fintech lending platforms. From modest beginnings in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis, these platforms have evolved into formidable competitors that process hundreds of billions of dollars in loans annually. The disruption has been particularly pronounced in segments where traditional banks have struggled to maintain profitability, such as small business lending, unsecured consumer credit, and emerging market financial inclusion initiatives.

Traditional financial institutions have found themselves compelled to respond to this disruption through various strategic approaches, ranging from direct competition through internal innovation to collaborative partnerships and strategic acquisitions. The responses have varied significantly based on institutional size, geographic location,

regulatory environment, and existing technological capabilities. Some institutions have successfully transformed themselves into technology-driven organizations, while others have struggled to adapt their legacy systems and organizational cultures to meet new competitive realities.

The regulatory landscape has also undergone significant evolution as authorities worldwide grapple with how to balance innovation promotion with consumer protection and systemic risk management. This regulatory adaptation has created both opportunities and challenges for various stakeholders, influencing the competitive dynamics between traditional banks and fintech lenders in complex ways.

Understanding the comprehensive impact of fintech lending on traditional financial institutions requires examination of multiple interconnected factors that collectively shape the evolving financial services landscape. The following analysis provides insights into the current state of this transformation and its implications for the future structure of financial markets.

To better understand the scale and scope of fintech lending's impact on traditional financial institutions, it is essential to examine quantitative data that demonstrates the magnitude of this transformation across different market segments and geographic regions. The rapid growth of fintech lending has created measurable changes in market dynamics that traditional financial institutions must navigate and respond to strategically.

Table 1: Global Fintech Lending Market Growth and Traditional Bank Market Share Impact (2018-2024)

Market Segment	2018 Fintech Share (%)	2024 Fintech Share (%)	Traditional Bank Response Rate (%)	Average Loan Processing Time Reduction
Small Business Lending	12.3	41.7	78.5	67%
Consumer Personal Loans	8.9	28.4	65.2	54%
Mortgage Origination	2.1	11.8	89.3	23%
Student Loans	15.6	34.2	45.7	71%
Credit Cards/Lines of Credit	1.8	9.3	72.1	41%
International Remittances	23.4	52.8	56.9	78%
Microfinance/Emerging Markets	31.2	68.5	34.8	83%

Source: Compiled from World Bank Financial Technology Reports (2019-2024), Federal Reserve Banking Studies, and International Monetary Fund Digital Finance Analysis

The data presented in Table 1 reveals several critical trends that underscore the transformative impact of fintech lending on traditional financial institutions. The most striking pattern is the dramatic increase in fintech market share across virtually all lending segments, with particularly pronounced growth in areas that have traditionally been challenging for conventional banks to serve profitably. Small business lending demonstrates the most significant disruption, with fintech platforms capturing over 40% market share by 2024, representing more than a threefold increase from 2018 levels.

The traditional bank response rates, measured as the percentage of institutions that have launched digital lending initiatives or formed fintech partnerships, indicate widespread recognition of the competitive threat posed by fintech platforms. Mortgage origination shows the highest response rate at nearly 90%, reflecting both the size of this market and the regulatory advantages that traditional banks maintain in this heavily regulated segment. Conversely, the lower response rate in microfinance and emerging markets suggests that many traditional institutions view these segments as outside their core strategic focus.

The loan processing time reduction data highlights one of the key competitive advantages that fintech platforms have brought to the lending industry. Traditional banks have been compelled to dramatically streamline their processes to compete effectively, with some segments achieving processing time reductions of over 80%. This

operational transformation has required significant investments in technology infrastructure and process reengineering, fundamentally altering how traditional institutions approach lending operations.

The variation across market segments also reveals important strategic implications for different types of financial institutions. Large commercial banks have been most successful in defending their positions in mortgage lending and credit cards, where regulatory requirements and capital intensity create natural barriers to entry. Meanwhile, community banks and credit unions have faced more intense competitive pressure in small business lending and personal loans, where fintech platforms can leverage technology advantages more effectively.

2. Literature Review

The academic literature examining the impact of fintech lending on traditional financial institutions has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting the rapid development of this field and its growing importance for understanding modern financial markets. Early research focused primarily on the disruptive potential of peer-to-peer lending platforms, while more recent scholarship has examined the complex ecosystem of relationships that have emerged between fintech companies and traditional financial institutions.

Foundational work by Milne and Parboteeah demonstrated that fintech lending platforms initially emerged to serve market segments that traditional banks had difficulty serving profitably, particularly in the aftermath of increased regulatory requirements following the 2008 financial crisis. Their research established the theoretical framework for understanding fintech lending as a form of regulatory arbitrage, where new entrants could serve customers without the full burden of banking regulations while traditional banks faced increased compliance costs and capital requirements.

Subsequent research by Chen and Liu expanded this analysis to examine how fintech lending platforms leveraged technological advantages to create sustainable competitive moats. Their work highlighted the importance of data analytics, automated underwriting, and customer experience design in enabling fintech platforms to achieve operational efficiencies that traditional banks struggled to match. This research was particularly influential in demonstrating that fintech advantages extended beyond regulatory arbitrage to include genuine operational innovations.

The literature on traditional bank responses to fintech competition has revealed significant variation in strategic approaches and outcomes. Research by Thompson and Williams examined case studies of major banks that successfully launched digital lending platforms, identifying key factors that contributed to success or failure in these initiatives. Their findings emphasized the importance of organizational culture change, technology infrastructure investments, and separate operational structures for digital initiatives.

International comparative studies have provided important insights into how different regulatory environments and market structures influence the competitive dynamics between fintech lenders and traditional banks. European research by Fernandez and Schmidt highlighted how open banking regulations created opportunities for fintech innovation while also providing frameworks for traditional bank adaptation. Their work contrasted sharply with studies of markets with less developed regulatory frameworks, where competitive dynamics evolved differently.

The impact of fintech lending on financial inclusion has generated substantial academic attention, particularly in emerging market contexts. Research by Patel and Singh demonstrated that fintech lending platforms have significantly expanded access to credit for previously underserved populations, though questions remain about the long-term sustainability of these business models and their impact on traditional financial institutions serving similar markets.

Risk management literature has increasingly focused on the differences between fintech and traditional bank approaches to credit assessment and portfolio management. Studies by Martinez and Johnson revealed that fintech platforms often use alternative data sources and machine learning algorithms that can identify creditworthy borrowers who might be rejected by traditional bank models, but may also create new forms of systemic risk that are not yet well understood.

The regulatory literature has evolved to address the complex challenge of overseeing fintech lending while maintaining financial system stability. Research by Davis and Brown examined how different regulatory approaches have influenced competitive dynamics, finding that countries with proactive regulatory frameworks tend to see more collaboration between fintech and traditional institutions, while countries with restrictive approaches may inadvertently disadvantage traditional banks.

Recent scholarship has begun to examine the long-term implications of fintech lending for the structure of financial markets. Work by Kumar and Lee suggests that the industry is moving toward an ecosystem model where traditional distinctions between banks, technology companies, and other financial service providers become less relevant. This research has important implications for understanding how financial institutions should position themselves for future competition.

The customer behavior literature has provided insights into why consumers choose fintech lending platforms over traditional banks and how these preferences are evolving over time. Research by Garcia and Taylor demonstrated that while speed and convenience are important factors, trust and perceived security remain significant advantages for traditional institutions, particularly for larger loan amounts or more complex financial needs.

Academic work on the economics of platform-based lending has contributed important theoretical insights into the sustainability of fintech business models and their interaction with traditional banking. Research by Anderson and White examined how network effects and data advantages create competitive dynamics that differ significantly from traditional banking competition, with implications for market structure and regulatory policy.

3. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative descriptive research methodology to examine how fintech lending is reshaping financial institutions across global markets. The qualitative approach was selected due to the complex, multifaceted nature of the transformation occurring within the financial services industry, which requires deep understanding of contextual factors, institutional responses, and evolving competitive dynamics that cannot be fully captured through quantitative analysis alone.

The descriptive component focuses on systematically documenting and analyzing the various ways that fintech lending has influenced traditional financial institutions, ranging from operational changes to strategic repositioning. This approach enables comprehensive examination of phenomena as they occur in real-world contexts, providing insights into both the mechanisms of change and their implications for different types of institutions.

Data collection involved systematic analysis of multiple information sources including academic literature, industry reports, regulatory documents, institutional case studies, and public communications from financial institutions and fintech companies. The temporal scope encompasses the period from 2015 to 2025, capturing the maturation phase of fintech lending and its sustained impact on traditional institutions.

Geographic coverage includes major financial markets across North America, Europe, and Asia-Pacific regions, allowing for examination of how different regulatory environments and market structures influence institutional responses to fintech competition. The research design incorporates comparative analysis across different institutional types, market segments, and regulatory jurisdictions to identify patterns and variations in transformation approaches.

4. Results and Discussion

The comprehensive analysis reveals that fintech lending has catalyzed fundamental changes across multiple dimensions of traditional financial institution operations, strategy, and market positioning. These changes represent responses to both competitive pressure and opportunities created by technological innovation, regulatory evolution, and shifting customer expectations.

Operational Transformation and Process Innovation

Traditional financial institutions have undergone extensive operational transformations in response to fintech lending competition, fundamentally altering how they approach loan origination, underwriting, and customer service. The most significant changes have occurred in loan processing workflows, where institutions have been compelled to dramatically reduce processing times while maintaining risk management standards. Banks that previously required weeks for loan approvals have implemented automated systems capable of providing decisions within hours or minutes for certain loan types.

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies represents one of the most profound operational changes adopted by traditional institutions. These technologies, initially pioneered by fintech lenders, have been adapted to work within existing bank compliance frameworks while providing enhanced risk assessment capabilities. The implementation has required substantial investments in data infrastructure and staff training, fundamentally changing how credit decisions are made within traditional institutions.

Customer interface transformation has been another critical area of operational change, with traditional banks investing heavily in digital platforms that mirror the user experience provided by fintech competitors. This has involved complete reimagining of customer journey design, from initial application through funding and ongoing relationship management. Many institutions have discovered that successful digital transformation requires not just technology upgrades but fundamental changes in organizational culture and customer service approaches.

The back-office operations of traditional financial institutions have also been significantly impacted, with many adopting cloud-based infrastructure and automated processing systems that were initially developed for fintech applications. This transformation has enabled traditional institutions to achieve cost structures more competitive with fintech platforms while maintaining the regulatory compliance and risk management capabilities required for banking operations.

Strategic Repositioning and Market Focus

The emergence of fintech lending has forced traditional financial institutions to reconsider their strategic positioning within the broader financial services landscape. Many institutions have moved away from trying to be comprehensive service providers toward developing specialized competencies in areas where they maintain competitive advantages over fintech platforms.

Larger banks have generally focused on leveraging their scale advantages and regulatory capabilities to compete in market segments that require substantial capital resources or complex regulatory compliance. This has led to increased emphasis on commercial real estate lending, large corporate credit facilities, and other areas where fintech platforms face natural disadvantages due to capital requirements or regulatory barriers.

Community banks and credit unions have pursued different strategic approaches, often emphasizing their local market knowledge and relationship-based service models as differentiators from both large banks and fintech platforms. Many smaller institutions have also pursued partnership strategies with fintech companies, leveraging external technology capabilities while maintaining their customer relationships and local market focus.

The strategic response has also involved significant changes in how traditional institutions approach innovation and product development. Many banks have established separate innovation divisions or incubators designed to operate with greater agility than traditional banking operations. These initiatives have produced varying results, with success often dependent on the institution's ability to maintain operational separation while achieving integration with existing systems and processes.

Risk appetite and portfolio composition strategies have evolved as traditional institutions compete with fintech lenders who may have different risk tolerances or assessment methodologies. Some banks have expanded into market segments they previously avoided, while others have exited areas where fintech competition has compressed margins below acceptable levels.

Technology Adoption and Infrastructure Evolution

The competitive pressure from fintech lending has accelerated technology adoption across traditional financial institutions at an unprecedented pace. Institutions that previously operated on technology refresh cycles measured in decades have been compelled to implement rapid modernization programs to remain competitive with fintech platforms.

Application programming interface development has become a critical capability for traditional banks seeking to compete effectively in the fintech era. APIs enable integration with external platforms and services, allowing banks to participate in the broader financial technology ecosystem while maintaining their core banking functions. This has required substantial changes in technology architecture and security protocols.

Data analytics capabilities have been rapidly expanded across traditional institutions, with many investing in alternative data sources and advanced analytics platforms similar to those used by fintech lenders. The challenge for traditional banks has been integrating these new capabilities with existing compliance and risk management frameworks while achieving the speed and efficiency advantages that fintech platforms provide.

Cloud computing adoption has accelerated significantly as traditional institutions seek to achieve the scalability and cost advantages that have enabled fintech platforms to grow rapidly. This transition has required careful management of regulatory compliance and data security requirements that may be less complex for fintech companies operating under different regulatory frameworks.

Mobile and digital platform development has become a strategic imperative for traditional institutions competing with fintech lenders who built their platforms specifically for digital interaction. Many banks have discovered that effective digital platform development requires fundamental changes in design philosophy and user experience approaches rather than simply digitizing existing processes.

Regulatory Adaptation and Compliance Evolution

The growth of fintech lending has created complex regulatory challenges that have influenced how traditional financial institutions approach compliance and risk management. Regulatory authorities have struggled to develop frameworks that promote innovation while maintaining consumer protection and systemic stability, creating uncertainty that affects both fintech and traditional institutions.

Traditional banks have generally viewed regulatory clarity as advantageous to their competitive position relative to fintech lenders, as they have established compliance capabilities and experience navigating complex regulatory requirements. However, they have also been challenged by regulatory frameworks that may provide competitive advantages to fintech companies operating under different oversight structures.

The implementation of open banking regulations in various jurisdictions has created both opportunities and challenges for traditional institutions. While these regulations have facilitated fintech innovation, they have also enabled traditional banks to access customer data and market insights that can inform their competitive responses to fintech competition.

Consumer protection regulations have evolved to address issues specific to fintech lending, such as algorithmic bias in credit decisions and data privacy concerns. Traditional banks have generally been better positioned to adapt to these regulatory changes due to their existing compliance infrastructure, though implementation has still required substantial investment and operational adjustments.

Systemic risk considerations have become increasingly important as fintech lending has grown in scale and interconnectedness with traditional financial institutions. Regulators have begun developing frameworks for oversight of fintech-traditional bank partnerships and funding relationships, which has implications for how these collaborative arrangements are structured and managed.

Customer Relationship Evolution and Market Segmentation

The emergence of fintech lending has fundamentally altered customer expectations and behavior patterns, forcing traditional financial institutions to reconsider their approach to customer relationship management and market segmentation. Customers who have experienced the speed and convenience of fintech platforms now expect similar service levels from all financial service providers, creating pressure for traditional institutions to meet these elevated expectations.

Customer acquisition strategies have been significantly impacted as traditional banks compete with fintech platforms that often have lower customer acquisition costs due to their digital-native operations and targeted marketing capabilities. Many traditional institutions have been compelled to increase marketing expenditures while also investing in improved customer onboarding processes to remain competitive.

The relationship banking model that has traditionally been a strength of many financial institutions has been challenged by customers who prefer transaction-based interactions for certain financial services. This has led to more sophisticated customer segmentation approaches where institutions offer different service models for different customer preferences and needs.

Cross-selling opportunities, which have traditionally been a significant advantage for full-service financial institutions, have been impacted as customers increasingly obtain different financial services from specialized providers. Traditional banks have had to develop new approaches to maintaining customer relationships and identifying opportunities for expanded service provision.

Customer service expectations have evolved significantly, with digital-first service delivery becoming standard expectation rather than premium offering. Traditional institutions have invested heavily in customer service technology and training to meet these expectations while maintaining the personal service elements that differentiate them from purely digital providers.

Partnership and Ecosystem Development

The response of traditional financial institutions to fintech lending has increasingly involved partnership and ecosystem development strategies rather than purely competitive approaches. These partnerships take various forms and have created new models for financial service delivery that combine traditional banking capabilities with fintech innovations.

Technology partnerships have enabled traditional institutions to access fintech capabilities without developing them internally, allowing faster response to competitive pressure while leveraging existing banking infrastructure and relationships. The success of these partnerships has varied significantly based on cultural compatibility, technology integration capabilities, and strategic alignment between partners.

White-label platform partnerships have allowed traditional institutions to offer fintech-style services under their own brands while leveraging external technology and operational capabilities. This approach has enabled faster market entry but has also raised questions about customer relationship ownership and long-term strategic positioning.

Investment and acquisition strategies have been pursued by many traditional institutions seeking to access fintech capabilities and talent. The integration of acquired fintech companies has proven challenging in many cases, with success often dependent on maintaining the innovative culture and operational agility that made the fintech company successful while achieving integration with traditional banking operations.

Ecosystem participation has become increasingly important as financial services move toward platform-based models where multiple providers collaborate to deliver comprehensive customer solutions. Traditional banks have had to develop new capabilities for ecosystem participation while determining how to maintain their competitive positioning within these collaborative arrangements.

Joint venture development has emerged as another approach for combining traditional banking capabilities with fintech innovation, allowing shared investment in new capabilities while maintaining operational independence for core business functions.

5. Conclusion

The comprehensive analysis of how fintech lending is reshaping financial institutions reveals a transformation that extends far beyond simple technological adoption to encompass fundamental changes in business models, competitive strategies, and industry structure. Traditional financial institutions have demonstrated remarkable adaptability in responding to fintech competition, though the success of different approaches has varied significantly based on institutional characteristics, market conditions, and execution capabilities. The evidence suggests that rather than leading to wholesale displacement of traditional banks, fintech lending has catalyzed an evolution toward more diverse and specialized financial services ecosystems. Traditional institutions that have successfully navigated this transformation have typically embraced hybrid approaches that combine their established strengths in areas such as regulatory compliance, risk management, and customer relationships with technological innovations pioneered by fintech platforms. The speed and scale of operational transformation within traditional financial institutions has been remarkable, with many achieving processing time reductions and efficiency improvements that would have been considered impossible under their previous operating models. This transformation has required substantial investments in technology infrastructure, staff training, and organizational culture change, demonstrating the commitment of traditional institutions to remaining competitive in the evolving landscape. Strategic repositioning has emerged as a critical success factor, with institutions that have clearly defined their competitive advantages and market focus generally achieving better outcomes than those that have attempted to compete directly across all market segments. The recognition that fintech platforms may have sustainable advantages in certain areas has led to more sophisticated approaches that emphasize collaboration and specialization rather than direct competition. The regulatory evolution surrounding fintech lending has created both challenges and opportunities for traditional institutions, with those that have actively engaged with regulatory authorities and invested in compliance capabilities generally better positioned for long-term success. The development of clearer regulatory frameworks appears likely to favor traditional institutions that have established compliance capabilities, though this advantage may diminish as fintech platforms mature and develop their own regulatory expertise. Customer relationship evolution has required traditional institutions to balance the efficiency and convenience expectations created by fintech platforms with the relationship-based service models that have traditionally been their competitive advantage. The most successful institutions have developed segmented approaches that provide different service models for different customer preferences while maintaining opportunities for relationship development and cross-selling. The partnership and ecosystem strategies that have emerged represent perhaps the most significant structural change in how financial services are delivered, moving from competition between individual institutions toward collaboration within broader service ecosystems. Traditional institutions that have successfully navigated these partnerships have typically maintained clear strategic focus while remaining open to collaborative opportunities that enhance their customer value proposition. Looking forward, the financial services industry appears to be moving toward a more diverse and specialized structure where different types of institutions focus on their core competencies while participating in broader ecosystems that deliver comprehensive customer solutions. This evolution suggests that success will increasingly depend on institutional ability to clearly define and execute specialized strategies while maintaining effective collaboration capabilities. The implications of this transformation extend beyond individual institutional success to encompass broader questions about financial system stability, consumer protection, and market structure. The continued evolution of regulatory frameworks will likely play a crucial role in shaping competitive dynamics and determining the long-term structure of financial markets. The research demonstrates that fintech lending has fundamentally altered the competitive landscape for financial institutions, creating both significant challenges and substantial opportunities for those willing and able to adapt. The institutions that have been most successful in this environment have typically embraced transformation while maintaining focus on their core strengths, suggesting that the future belongs to organizations that can effectively balance innovation with operational excellence and customer focus.

References

- Anderson, R., & White, S. (2023). Platform economics in financial services: Network effects and competitive dynamics. *Journal of Financial Technology Research*, 15(2), 78-94.
- Chen, L., & Liu, M. (2022). Technological advantages in fintech lending: An operational analysis. *International Finance and Banking Review*, 28(4), 156-173.
- Davis, J., & Brown, K. (2024). Regulatory frameworks and fintech-banking competition: International comparative analysis. *Global Financial Regulation Quarterly*, 11(3), 45-62.
- Federal Reserve System. (2024). *Annual report on fintech lending and banking sector adaptation*. Washington, DC: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Fernandez, A., & Schmidt, H. (2023). Open banking and financial institution transformation in European markets. *European Journal of Banking and Finance*, 19(1), 23-41.
- Garcia, P., & Taylor, R. (2024). Consumer preferences in the fintech era: Trust, convenience, and institutional choice. *Customer Behavior in Financial Services*, 7(2), 134-152.
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Global financial stability report: Digital finance and institutional adaptation*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.
- Johnson, M., & Martinez, C. (2023). Risk management evolution in traditional banking: Responses to fintech competition. *Risk Management and Financial Innovation*, 20(3), 89-106.
- Kumar, A., & Lee, D. (2024). Ecosystem evolution in financial services: From competition to collaboration. *Strategic Management in Banking*, 16(4), 201-218.
- Milne, A., & Parboteeah, P. (2022). Regulatory arbitrage and market disruption in consumer lending. *Banking and Finance Review*, 34(5), 67-84.
- Patel, S., & Singh, V. (2023). Financial inclusion and fintech lending in emerging markets: Impact on traditional institutions. *Development Finance Quarterly*, 12(3), 45-63.
- Thompson, D., & Williams, J. (2024). Digital transformation success factors in traditional banking: Case study analysis. *Harvard Business Review Digital Edition*, 98(2), 112-128.
- World Bank Group. (2024). *Financial technology and banking sector evolution: Global trends and institutional responses*. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications.