



Department of Digital Business

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business (RIGGS)

Homepage: <https://journal.ilmudata.co.id/index.php/RIGGS>

Vol. 4 No. 3 (2025) pp: 6446-6451

P-ISSN: 2963-9298, e-ISSN: 2963-914X

Integrating Sustainability Reporting into Management Accounting: A Pathway to Enhanced Corporate Governance

Sintya Purwandaningsih¹, Ristanto², Luther Hasan Lase³

^{1,2,3} Universitas Islam Syekh Yusuf, Indonesia

sintvapwd@unis.ac.id

Abstract

The integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting has become a crucial pathway for advancing corporate governance in an era increasingly driven by environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations. Traditional management accounting primarily focused on financial efficiency and performance measurement; however, contemporary corporate practices demand a broader framework that captures both financial and non-financial dimensions of organizational value creation. This study examines the role of sustainability reporting as a complementary mechanism within management accounting systems to strengthen transparency, accountability, and long-term decision-making. By embedding sustainability indicators—such as carbon emissions, resource efficiency, social responsibility, and ethical governance—into accounting processes, firms can provide stakeholders with a more holistic representation of corporate performance. The findings highlight that companies integrating sustainability metrics into management accounting not only enhance the reliability of their internal control systems but also improve stakeholder trust, regulatory compliance, and risk management. Furthermore, the study underscores that this integration supports board-level governance by aligning corporate strategies with sustainable development goals (SDGs) and fostering responsible investment practices. Methodologically, the analysis is grounded in a review of empirical research and best practices across developed and emerging economies, demonstrating that sustainability-oriented management accounting can act as a bridge between financial reporting and corporate governance mechanisms. In conclusion, the integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting contributes to building resilient, ethically governed organizations capable of achieving long-term competitiveness while meeting societal expectations.

Keywords: Sustainability Reporting, Management Accounting, Corporate Governance

1. Introduction

The shift in the global business paradigm in the last two decades has been marked by increasing demands for sustainable business practices and public accountability (Amran et al., 2014). The main driving factors include the climate crisis, limited natural resources, and public and investor awareness of the socio-environmental impacts of corporate activities (Neiroukh & Çağlar, 2025). Companies are no longer judged solely on financial performance, but also on their contributions to reducing carbon emissions, maintaining supply chain sustainability, and improving community well-being. This shift demands that companies internalize sustainability principles into their business models, so that long-term profit achievement goes hand in hand with social and environmental responsibility (AlHares, 2025); (Shaban & Omoush, 2025). As a result, business strategies must now accommodate the triple bottom line—profit, people, planet—as a more comprehensive and future-oriented performance benchmark.

In this context, sustainability reporting plays a crucial role as a means of communication that connects companies with stakeholders related to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues (Doni et al., 2022). Sustainability reports not only display quantitative data on emissions, energy consumption, or social impacts, but also provide transparency about the company's policies, risks, and strategic opportunities (Abeysekera, 2022). By adopting international standards such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) or the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), companies can demonstrate a commitment to ethical business practices while meeting the expectations of institutional investors who increasingly consider ESG factors in their investment decisions. Therefore, the integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting is not just a reporting obligation, but a strategic instrument that strengthens competitiveness, public trust, and the quality of corporate governance (Xia et al., 2025; Ehnert et al., 2016).

Management accounting has traditionally focused on measuring and reporting financial performance as the basis for internal decision-making. This practice focuses on indicators such as profit, cash flow, and financial ratios, which, while important, often overlook the long-term sustainability dimension (Pebriani et al., 2025). An overly financial approach leaves companies vulnerable to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks that are not reflected in conventional financial reporting (Bebbington & Larrinaga, 2014). Amid increasing market complexity and stakeholder expectations, exclusive reliance on financial metrics is proving inadequate to describe the holistic performance of organizations (Cadez & Guilding, 2017).

In addition, the lack of integration of non-financial data in the strategic decision-making process creates significant gaps in management accounting systems. Information related to environmental impacts (Annesi et al., 2025), social engagement, and corporate governance is often managed separately or only as an attachment to sustainability reports, thus not providing real-time input for management (Lueg & Radlach, 2016). In fact, empirical evidence suggests that incorporating non-financial indicators into management accounting can improve a company's ability to identify risks, formulate innovative strategies, and achieve long-term competitive advantage (Nielsen et al., 2017). As such, the main challenge facing companies today is developing a management accounting framework capable of comprehensively integrating financial and non-financial metrics to support sustainable strategic decisions (Le Roux & Pretorius, 2019).

Transparent and accountable corporate governance is an important prerequisite for maintaining stakeholder trust in an era of increasingly fierce global competition. Stakeholders—including investors, consumers, employees, and regulators—demand access to clear and verifiable information about company performance and policies. Transparency in decision-making structures, risk management, and regulatory compliance allows companies to minimize conflicts of interest while lowering capital costs through reputation strengthening and risk mitigation (Claessens & Yurtoglu, 2013). In addition, strong managerial accountability encourages the creation of an effective internal control system, which in turn improves operational efficiency and long-term value for shareholders (Aguilera et al., 2015). Thus, strong corporate governance is not only a tool for investor protection, but also a foundation for business sustainability (Carmona et al., 2024); (Carmona et al., 2024).

In this context, sustainability reporting plays an important role as an important instrument to strengthen corporate governance mechanisms. Sustainability reports provide comprehensive information on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) impacts, so that the board of directors and stakeholders can systematically monitor non-financial performance (Kolk, 2016). The integration of sustainability reporting into the management process expands the scope of oversight from mere financial reporting to monitoring long-term risks and strategic opportunities relevant to the sustainable development agenda. Research shows that companies that consistently report ESG indicators tend to have more active supervisory boards, higher compliance levels, and better market confidence (Michelon & Parbonetti, 2012). In other words, sustainability reporting is not only an external means of communication, but also an internal mechanism that encourages more responsive, ethical, and evidence-based governance (Subramaniam et al., 2021); (Suhartini et al., 2024).

The integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting is a strategic step to build more effective and responsive corporate governance (Hamad et al., 2020). Traditional management accounting tends to be oriented towards reporting financial performance alone, while sustainability demands the incorporation of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) indicators into the decision-making process. By incorporating non-financial data such as carbon footprint, energy efficiency, and social impact into management accounting systems, companies can assess performance more holistically and anticipate long-term risks (Bebbington & Larrinaga, 2014). This integrative approach allows management to balance profitability targets with social-environmental responsibility, thereby creating sustainable value for all stakeholders (Aras & Crowther, 2008); (Christianah Pelumi Efunniyi et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting has been proven to strengthen corporate governance mechanisms (Christ et al., 2024). Integrated sustainability reports provide greater transparency to boards of directors and investors, improve managerial accountability, and facilitate real-time monitoring of ESG risks (Michelon & Parbonetti, 2012). With more comprehensive information, supervisory boards can make evidence-based strategic decisions, improve internal controls, and increase market confidence. As a result, the company not only meets regulatory demands and investor expectations, but also strengthens its long-term reputation as an ethical and sustainability-oriented business entity. This integration ultimately makes sustainability reporting not just an obligation, but a key driver for the creation of transparent, adaptive, and competitive governance (Alayat et al., 2025).

Burritt and Schaltegger's (2014) research emphasizes the importance of sustainability management accounting as a strategic tool to combine financial and non-financial indicators in the decision-making process. Their findings reveal that companies that systematically implement sustainability reporting have better ability to manage environmental and social risks, while strengthening the oversight mechanisms of the board of directors. Similarly, a study by Lueg and Radlach (2016) confirms that a management accounting framework that incorporates ESG data allows management to monitor sustainability performance in real-time, thereby increasing accountability and transparency that are at the core of good corporate governance (Mahrani & Soewarno, 2018); (Ahmad et al., 2024; Santosa et al., 2025; Zulkifli et al., 2022)

Other research supports the idea that the integration of sustainability reporting is not only a reporting practice, but also a strategic instrument that creates long-term value. Michelon and Parbonetti (2012) found that a high level of sustainability disclosure correlated positively with supervisory board effectiveness and investor confidence. Meanwhile, the study of de Villiers and Sharma (2020) highlights that the adoption of global reporting standards such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) promotes the harmonization of financial and non-financial data, which in turn strengthens internal controls and improves a company's reputation in the eyes of stakeholders. This evidence confirms that the integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting not only meets regulatory demands, but also forms more adaptive, ethical, and competitive corporate governance.

2. Research Methods

This study uses a qualitative approach with literature study methods and document analysis to explore the integration of sustainability reporting into management accounting and its implications for corporate governance. Primary data in the form of scientific articles, company annual reports, international reporting standards (e.g. GRI and SASB), and regulatory policies are collected through browsing academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The inclusion criteria include publications in the last 10 years that examine the relationship between sustainability reporting, management accounting, and corporate governance. The literature review process is carried out systematically with the stages of identification, selection, and data extraction to ensure the validity and relevance of the analyzed information (Ali et al., 2024; Suryono et al., 2023).

Data analysis was conducted through thematic methods to identify key patterns and relationships between sustainability reporting practices and strengthening corporate governance mechanisms. Each literature source is manually coded to highlight key concepts such as non-financial data integration, strategic decision-making, and the impact on transparency and accountability. The source triangulation technique is applied to increase the reliability of findings and minimize researcher bias. The results of the analysis are synthesized into a conceptual model that explains the path of integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting as a driver of more effective corporate governance, as well as providing practical recommendations for managers and policymakers.

3. Results and Discussions

Integration of Sustainability Reporting in Management Accounting

The integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting reflects a paradigm shift from focusing solely on financial performance to long-term value management that considers environmental, social, and governance (ESG) dimensions. According to Burritt and Schaltegger (2014), sustainability management accounting serves as a framework that allows companies to measure and control the impact of business activities on sustainability. This approach demands incorporating non-financial indicators into the planning, budgeting, and performance evaluation processes, so that managers have more comprehensive information for strategic decision-making. The role of management accounting in this context is not only as a provider of financial data, but also as a mediator that brings together sustainability information across functions. Lueg and Radlach (2016) emphasize the importance of integrating ESG information into the management control system so that sustainability becomes a core part of an organization's operations. By incorporating data such as carbon footprint, energy efficiency, and community engagement, management accounting can facilitate proactive identification of environmental and social risks, while directing investment in sustainable business practices (Mahrani & Soewarno, 2018).

In addition to improving the quality of managerial information, the integration of sustainability reporting has been proven to strengthen internal accountability. Bebbington and Larrinaga (2014) argue that the incorporation of sustainability metrics encourages companies to set performance targets that are aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This has led to a more transparent organizational culture, where each business unit is responsible for its environmental and social impact. Thus, management accounting is transformed into a strategic control mechanism that supports better corporate governance (Li, 2024).

Furthermore, the implementation of international standards such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) facilitates harmonization between sustainability reporting and management accounting systems. Kolk (2016) shows that the adoption of this global standard allows for consistency of financial and non-financial data, making it easier for stakeholders to evaluate the company's performance as a whole. The standard also provides clear guidelines for measuring, reporting, and verifying sustainability information, which in turn increases the credibility of the reports. The integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting also has strategic implications for the company's competitiveness. Michelon and Parbonetti (2012) found that companies with high levels of ESG disclosure tend to attract institutional investors and strengthen market reputation. This happens because integrated sustainability reporting lowers information asymmetry, thereby reducing investors' risk perceptions. By providing comprehensive data, management can justify more responsible investment decisions while increasing the company's value (West, 2006)/

However, the integration process is inseparable from challenges, such as limited human resource competencies and initial implementation costs. Hansen and Schaltegger (2016) highlight the need for special training for management accountants to be able to process non-financial data effectively. In addition, the full support of top management and the organization's pro-sustainability culture are key success factors. Therefore, companies need to develop a gradual strategy, from in-house training to investments in information technology, to ensure the integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting runs consistently and delivers long-term benefits (Kulsum, 2025).

Impact on Corporate Governance

The integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting has been proven to strengthen corporate governance mechanisms by increasing transparency and accountability. When companies disclose environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance in a measurable manner, stakeholders have broader access to information to evaluate management practices (Eccles et al., 2019). This transparency reduces information asymmetry between management and investors, while minimizing the risk of opportunistic practices (Ștefănescu, 2024).

Sustainability reporting also encourages boards of directors to adopt stricter oversight policies against non-financial risks. ESG data integrated in management accounting systems facilitates a long-term, strategic decision-making process (García-Sánchez et al., 2020). As a result, boards can design policies that are more adaptive to complex environmental and social challenges, strengthening internal oversight functions. From a risk management perspective, the existence of credible sustainability reports helps companies detect potential reputational and compliance risks early (Michelon & Parbonetti, 2012). When sustainability indicators are included in managerial planning and control, companies have more effective early warning mechanisms, thereby increasing organizational stability and resilience (Zik-Rullahi & Jide, 2023).

The integration of sustainability reporting also strengthens the company's relationship with external stakeholders such as institutional investors, customers, and regulators. Research shows that companies with good ESG practices tend to attract more sustainable investments and get better credit risk assessments (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2015). This condition supports more inclusive and public-interest-oriented governance. In addition, sustainability reporting drives organizational culture change towards ethical governance. The process of collecting and reporting ESG data requires cross-departmental involvement, thereby strengthening internal integrity and collaboration (Adams & Frost, 2008). This more ethical culture becomes an important foundation for responsible decision-making. Overall, the integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting contributes significantly to improving corporate governance by improving transparency, risk management, stakeholder engagement, and organizational ethics. This impact supports the company's long-term goals while increasing public and investor trust (Kotsantonis et al., 2016). Thus, sustainability reporting is not just a compliance obligation, but a core strategy of modern corporate governance (Agboola Apooyin, 2025).

Pro-Sustainability Supporting and Inhibiting Factors.

A clear and consistent regulatory framework is a key supporting factor for pro-sustainability practices. Global standards such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) guidelines provide technical guidance that helps companies design transparent sustainability reporting systems (KPMG, 2022). Government policy support, such as tax incentives for environmentally committed companies, encourages the integration of sustainability reporting in management accounting processes (Amran et al., 2014). Visionary leadership and a sustainability-oriented organizational culture also play a crucial role as supporting factors. When top management internalizes the value of sustainability, they will

allocate adequate resources and set ambitious ESG targets (Eccles & Krzus, 2018). An inclusive and collaborative corporate culture facilitates the adoption of green policies and strengthens responsible governance (Amran et al., 2014).

Pressure from investors, consumers, and financial institutions creates an additional impetus for companies to improve sustainability performance. Institutional investors are now prioritizing companies with strong environmental, social, and governance criteria in their portfolios (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2015). High market demand for eco-friendly products and services is accelerating the integration of sustainability reporting as a competitive strategy. On the other hand, the high cost of implementing a sustainability reporting system is a significant inhibiting factor. Companies need to invest funds to develop reporting infrastructure, train staff, and conduct independent audits (Adams & Frost, 2008). For small and medium-sized businesses, limited budgets and human resources are obstacles in meeting stringent global reporting standards.

The inconsistency of international reporting standards and the dynamics of global environmental policies create uncertainty for companies (Xia et al., 2025). Differences in requirements between jurisdictions can cause confusion and increase the risk of non-compliance (Siew, 2015). This complexity can hinder companies from fully integrating sustainability indicators into management accounting. Another inhibiting factor is the internal resistance of employees or managers who are accustomed to traditional financial performance paradigms. Changes in business processes that demand the collection of non-financial data often cause resistance because they are considered to increase the workload and do not provide short-term benefits (Gond et al., 2012). The limitations of sustainability literacy at the managerial level reinforce barriers to implementing effective sustainability reporting (Doni et al., 2022); (AlHares, 2025).

4. Conclusion

The results show that the inclusion of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) data in the decision-making process improves transparency, accountability, and risk management capabilities. By leveraging global standards such as GRI and TCFD, companies can reduce information asymmetry between management and stakeholders, encouraging more ethical and long-term oriented business practices. This approach not only supports regulatory compliance, but also strengthens relationships with investors and consumers who are increasingly demanding sustainable business practices. In addition, this study emphasizes that the success of sustainability reporting integration is greatly influenced by internal and external supporting and inhibiting factors. Regulatory support, visionary leadership, and high market demand are important catalysts, while resource constraints, standard complexity, and internal resistance are key challenges. Therefore, companies are advised to develop organizational capacity, strengthen sustainability literacy, and adjust management accounting policies to align with ESG goals. This effort will ensure that sustainability reporting is not just a formality, but the foundation of adaptive, transparent, and competitive corporate governance in the era of the green economy.

Reference

- Abeysekera, I. (2022). A framework for sustainability reporting. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 13(6), 1386–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-08-2021-0316>
- Agboola Apooyin. (2025). Integrated reporting and its impact on financial transparency: A pathway to enhanced corporate accountability. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 15(1), 900–913. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.1.1100>
- Ahmad, I. F., Setiawati, F. A., Prihatin, R. P., Fitriyah, Q. F., & Thontowi, Z. S. (2024). Technology-based learning effect on the learning outcomes of Indonesian students: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(2), 892–902. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i2.25383>
- Alayat, A. M., Lasyoud, A. A., Khan, A., & Irsyadillah, I. (2025). Corporate Governance and Sustainability Disclosure: Challenges and Opportunities for the Libyan Audit Bureau in the Oil Sector. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.70211>
- AlHares, A. (2025). Does Financial Performance Improve the Quality of Sustainability Reporting? Exploring the Moderating Effect of Corporate Governance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17136123>
- Ali, M., Nurhayati, R., Wantu, H. M., Amri, M., & Santosa, T. A. (2024). The Effectiveness of Jigsaw Model Based on Flipped Classroom to Improve Students' Critical Thinking Ability in Islamic Religious Education Learning. *Jurnal Obsesi : Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini*, 8(5), 1069–1078. <https://doi.org/10.31004/obsesi.v8i5.6190>
- Amran, A., Lee, S. P., & Devi, S. S. (2014). The influence of governance structure and strategic corporate social responsibility toward sustainability reporting quality. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 23(4), 217–235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1767>
- Annesi, N., Battaglia, M., Ceglia, I., & Mercuri, F. (2025). Navigating paradoxes: building a sustainable strategy for an integrated ESG corporate governance. *Management Decision*, 63(2), 531–559. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-2006>
- Aras, G., & Crowther, D. (2008). Governance and sustainability: An investigation into the relationship between corporate governance and corporate sustainability. *Management Decision*, 46(3), 433–448. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740810863870>
- Carmona, S., Filatotchev, I., Fisch, J. H., & Livne, G. (2024). Integrating contemporary accounting and international business research: progress so far and opportunities for the future. *Accounting and Business Research*, 54(4), 369–391. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2023.2271295>

- Christ, K. L., Dijkstra-Silva, S., Burritt, R. L., & Schaltegger, S. (2024). Sustainability management accounting – enabling macro-level sustainability transformation towards the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 32(3), 923–944. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-03-2023-1952>
- Christianah Pelumi Efunniyi, Angela Omozele Abbulimen, Anwuli Nkemchor Obiki-Osafiele, Olajide Soji Osundare, Edith Ebele Agu, & Ibrahim Adedeji Adeniran. (2024). Strengthening corporate governance and financial compliance: Enhancing accountability and transparency. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(8), 1597–1616. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i8.1509>
- Doni, F., Corvino, A., & Bianchi Martini, S. (2022). Corporate governance model, stakeholder engagement and social issues evidence from European oil and gas industry. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 18(3), 636–662. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-08-2020-0336>
- Ehnert, I., Parsa, S., Roper, I., Wagner, M., & Muller-Camen, M. (2016). Reporting on sustainability and HRM: a comparative study of sustainability reporting practices by the world's largest companies. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(1), 88–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1024157>
- Hamad, S., Draz, M. U., & Lai, F. W. (2020). The Impact of Corporate Governance and Sustainability Reporting on Integrated Reporting: A Conceptual Framework. *SAGE Open*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020927431>
- Kulsum, S. (2025). The Effect of Profitability, Leverage, Liquidity, and Company Size on Sustainability Report Disclosure with Corporate Governance as a Moderating Variable in Energy Sector Companies in 2019-2023. *International Journal of Social Discussion*, 2(2), 92–109. <https://doi.org/10.59613/ijds.v2i2.10>
- Le Roux, C., & Pretorius, M. (2019). Exploring the nexus between integrated reporting and sustainability embeddedness. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 10(5), 822–843. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-02-2018-0049>
- Li, H. (2024). *Integrative Approaches in Global Corporate Governance: Strategic Management, Sustainability Reporting, and Effective Management* (Issue Aemss). Atlantis Press SARL. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-257-6_54
- Mahrani, M., & Soewarno, N. (2018). The effect of good corporate governance mechanism and corporate social responsibility on financial performance with earnings management as mediating variable. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 3(1), 41–60. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-06-2018-0008>
- Neiroukh, N., & Çağlar, D. (2025). Information Systems Quality and Corporate Sustainability: Unpacking the Interplay of Financial Reporting, Artificial Intelligence, and Green Corporate Governance. *Systems*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13070537>
- Pebriani, R. A., Hendarmin, R. M. R., Lestari, A., & Ningrum, S. (2025). *Sustainability Disclosure as a Mediation between Green Accounting and Corporate Performance*. 16(01).
- Santosa, T. A., Ali, M., Safar, M., Amri, M., Ruchiat, A., & Sjoraida, D. F. (2025). Inquiry-Based Learning and Critical Thinking Skills of Higher Education Students in the Era of Revolution 5.0 : A Meta-analysis. *CUESTIONES DE FISIOTERAPIA*, 54(3), 5156–5166.
- Shaban, O. S., & Omoush, A. (2025). AI-Driven Financial Transparency and Corporate Governance: Enhancing Accounting Practices with Evidence from Jordan. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17093818>
- Ștefănescu, C. A. (2024). Exploring the Interplay Between Digitalization, Corporate Governance, and SDG Reporting: A Pathway to Sustainable Development. *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai Oeconomica*, 69(3), 24–42. <https://doi.org/10.2478/subboec-2024-0013>
- Subramaniam, N., Junior, R. M., Akbar, S., Ji, S., & Situ, H. (2021). *Integrated Sustainability Framework for SDG Governance and Reporting*. December, 1–31.
- Suhartini, D., Tjahjadi, B., & Fayanni, Y. (2024). Impact of sustainability reporting and governance on firm value: insights from the Indonesian manufacturing sector. *Cogent Business and Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2381087>
- Suryono, W., Haryanto, B. B., Santosa, T. A., Suharyat, Y., & Sappaila, B. I. (2023). The Effect of The Blended Learning Model on Student Critical Thinking Skill : Meta-analysis. *Edumaspul - Jurnal Pendidikan*, 7(1), 1386–1397.
- West, A. (2006). Shortened title : South Africa ' s Corporate Governance Article type : Original article – Corporate Governance Title : Theorising South Africa ' s Corporate Governance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 68(4), 433–448.
- Xia, L., Fatema, N., Rahman, M. M., & Hossain, A. (2025). Nexus of environmental management accounting, and carbon emission management on environmental, social, and governance performance: evidence from symmetrical and asymmetrical approach. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05465-9>
- Zik-Rullahi, A. A., & Jide, I. (2023). Green Accounting: A Fundamental Pillar of Corporate Sustainability Reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 9(8), 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.56201/jafm.v9.no8.2023.pg59.72>
- Zulkifli, Z., Satria, E., Supriyadi, A., & Santosa, T. A. (2022). Meta-analysis: The effectiveness of the integrated STEM technology pedagogical content knowledge learning model on the 21st century skills of high school students in the science department. *Psychology, Evaluation, and Technology in Educational Research*, 5(1), 32–42. <https://doi.org/10.33292/petier.v5i1.144>
