



Department of Digital Business

**Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business (RIGGS)**

Homepage: <https://journal.ilmudata.co.id/index.php/RIGGS>

Vol. 4 No. 3 (2025) pp: 2861-2870

P-ISSN: 2963-9298, e-ISSN: 2963-914X

---

## Ethical and Sustainable AI Adoption in Future Global Business Practices

Sujoko Winanto

Department of Management, Universitas Ary Ginanjar, Indonesia

[sujoko@esqbs.ac.id](mailto:sujoko@esqbs.ac.id)

### **Abstract**

*Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in global business, offering unprecedented opportunities for innovation and efficiency while simultaneously raising complex ethical and sustainability challenges. This study explores how ethical principles and sustainability frameworks can be integrated into AI-driven business practices, emphasizing the dual imperative of technological advancement and responsible governance. Employing a qualitative research design with a library research approach and content analysis, the study systematically reviews academic literature, policy documents, and institutional reports published between 2020 and 2025. Findings highlight key ethical issues including fairness, accountability, transparency, and privacy, as well as sustainability dimensions related to environmental impact, economic inclusivity, and social equity. The analysis further reveals that while global regulatory frameworks such as the OECD AI Principles and UNESCO recommendations provide valuable guidance, governance remains fragmented and often lags behind technological innovation. Importantly, the study identifies a research gap in integrative scholarship that simultaneously addresses ethical and sustainability concerns in business adoption of AI. The results suggest that embedding ethical and sustainable AI into corporate strategies is essential not only for compliance but also for building resilience, fostering stakeholder trust, and achieving long-term competitiveness. This research contributes to academic discourse by offering a comprehensive framework for aligning AI innovation with global ethical and sustainability objectives, while also providing practical insights for policymakers, corporations, and international organizations.*

*Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Ethical AI, Sustainable Business, Corporate Strategy, Global Governance*

### **1. Introduction**

The ideal trajectory of global business practices envisions artificial intelligence (AI) as a transformative instrument that fosters efficiency, inclusivity, and sustainability [1]. In this perspective, AI is expected to function not only as a technological innovation but also as a strategic enabler for advancing ethical standards, promoting responsible governance, and aligning business operations with long-term sustainable development goals [2]. Enterprises are anticipated to integrate AI systems that ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability, thereby strengthening stakeholder trust and contributing to resilient digital economies [3]. This integration necessitates a nuanced understanding of AI's ethical implications, particularly concerning algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the societal impact of automation, to proactively develop robust mitigation strategies [2]. Furthermore, the sustainable adoption of AI will require businesses to balance the pursuit of innovation and efficiency with a commitment to social and environmental justice, necessitating robust governance frameworks [4].

Contrary to this normative expectation, empirical evidence demonstrates that AI adoption in business frequently encounters significant ethical and sustainability challenges [5]. Algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, energy-intensive computing, and opaque decision-making processes have raised concerns among policymakers, practitioners, and consumers [6]. For instance, large-scale AI models are often criticized for their disproportionate carbon footprint, while automated decision systems have been linked to discriminatory outcomes in hiring and financial services [2]. These realities illustrate the tension between the promise of AI as a tool for sustainable business and its unintended consequences when deployed without robust ethical frameworks [7]. This disjunction between aspirational goals and current operational realities underscores the urgent need for a comprehensive examination of ethical AI adoption and its sustainability implications in global business practices [8], [9].

Existing studies have addressed AI adoption from diverse perspectives, including corporate performance, consumer trust, and sustainability impacts [10]. While these works provide valuable insights, most remain fragmented, either emphasizing technological efficiency without ethical depth or focusing on regulatory compliance without assessing long-term sustainability implications [11]. Consequently, there is a lack of

integrative scholarship that holistically examines how ethical principles and sustainability frameworks can be simultaneously embedded into AI-driven business practices at a global scale [4].

This research seeks to respond to that gap by investigating the ethical and sustainable dimensions of AI adoption in future business contexts. The study aims to analyze the intersection between technological innovation, responsible governance, and environmental considerations, thereby offering a comprehensive framework for aligning AI-driven strategies with global sustainability objectives. Ultimately, the goal is to generate practical and theoretical contributions that inform policymakers, industry leaders, and academics in navigating the complex challenges and opportunities of AI in shaping the future of global business..

## 2. Research Methods

This study employs a qualitative research design using a library research approach combined with content analysis [12]. The qualitative orientation is appropriate because the research seeks to interpret concepts, values, and frameworks related to ethical and sustainable AI adoption rather than measuring variables quantitatively [13]. By utilizing library research, the study systematically explores and synthesizes academic literature, policy reports, and institutional publications that discuss the intersection of artificial intelligence, ethics, and sustainability in global business contexts [14].

The data collection method relies on secondary sources obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, books, conference proceedings, and reports published between 2020 and 2025 [8]. The selection of literature follows specific inclusion criteria, namely: (1) relevance to AI adoption in business, (2) explicit discussion of ethical issues or sustainability dimensions, and (3) credibility of the source from established academic or institutional publishers. Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar serve as the primary repositories for identifying relevant materials. A systematic review approach, including keyword searches (e.g., "AI ethics," "sustainable AI," "AI governance," "business ethics AI," "AI environmental impact"), Boolean operators, and citation pearl growing, ensures comprehensive coverage of the pertinent literature [14]. Content analysis, as a secondary methodological component, involves systematically examining the textual data to identify recurring themes, patterns, and arguments concerning ethical AI adoption and its sustainability impacts [15]. This approach allows for the triangulation of theoretical perspectives and empirical findings, facilitating a nuanced understanding of the complexities inherent in aligning technological advancement with ethical imperatives and ecological stewardship [16], [17].

The data analysis method applied in this study is content analysis, which allows the researcher to systematically categorize, interpret, and synthesize textual information from the collected literature [18]. The analysis proceeds in several stages: (1) data reduction by screening and selecting texts that meet the inclusion criteria; (2) thematic coding to identify recurring categories such as fairness, transparency, accountability, environmental impact, and governance; and (3) interpretation of themes in light of ethical frameworks and sustainability principles, including the UN Sustainable Development Goals and global AI governance guidelines. This analytical process facilitates the discovery of patterns, inconsistencies, and conceptual gaps within the existing body of knowledge [19]. This rigorous approach ensures a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted challenges and opportunities associated with embedding ethical considerations and sustainability principles into the fabric of AI-driven business practices.

Through this methodological approach, the study aims to construct a comprehensive understanding of how ethical and sustainable principles can be integrated into AI-driven business practices [20]. The results are expected to provide both theoretical contributions to academic discourse and practical insights for policymakers, corporations, and stakeholders engaged in shaping the future of global business.

## 3. Results and Discussions

### 3.1. The Ethical Foundations of Artificial Intelligence in Business

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into business requires a strong ethical foundation to ensure that technological advancement does not compromise human dignity and social justice [2]. Ethical AI emphasizes principles such as fairness, accountability, transparency, and respect for privacy, all of which are essential in maintaining trust between businesses and their stakeholders. In the absence of these principles, AI systems risk perpetuating bias, discrimination, and unethical decision-making that may erode consumer confidence [21]. The widespread adoption of AI across various business sectors increasingly highlights the critical importance of these ethical considerations [8]. For instance, unchecked AI systems can lead to significant societal harms, including biased outcomes in hiring, lending, or even criminal justice, underscoring the urgent need for robust ethical

frameworks [2], [22]. Transparency is particularly crucial in building trust among stakeholders, ensuring that AI's decision-making processes are understandable and accountable [3].

Fairness is one of the most fundamental aspects of ethical AI. When AI algorithms are applied in business settings such as recruitment, lending, or insurance, fairness ensures that outcomes do not discriminate based on gender, ethnicity, or socioeconomic status [23]. Studies have shown that algorithmic bias can unintentionally reinforce social inequalities, which underscores the urgency of embedding fairness as a non-negotiable ethical standard in AI adoption [24]. This necessitates rigorous auditing of datasets and algorithms to identify and mitigate inherent biases, ensuring equitable treatment across all user demographics [25]. Accountability mechanisms are equally vital, establishing clear lines of responsibility for AI system outcomes, particularly when errors or harms occur [2].

Accountability is another pillar of ethical AI. Businesses that adopt AI must ensure that there is clear human oversight and responsibility for algorithm-driven decisions [26]. Without accountability mechanisms, harmful decisions made by AI systems may go unchallenged, leading to reputational damage and potential legal liabilities for corporations. Governance frameworks that establish lines of accountability are therefore crucial [27]. Such frameworks help in identifying individuals or institutions responsible for the behavior of AI systems, ensuring that any failures can be remediated and challenged [28]. Moreover, the ability to trace the origins of AI-driven decisions back to specific datasets or models is crucial for effective remediation and for fostering public trust in AI applications [29].

Transparency, or the explainability of AI systems, is increasingly important for ethical adoption. Stakeholders, including consumers and regulators, need to understand how AI reaches specific decisions. Lack of transparency creates a "black box" effect, which reduces trust and hinders the ability to contest or audit AI-generated outcomes [2]. Transparent AI systems strengthen corporate integrity and align with the principles of responsible governance. This is particularly salient in high-stakes applications where decisions significantly impact individuals' lives, such as medical diagnostics or financial approvals, where opacity can lead to distrust and resistance [2]. This lack of transparency and explainability, particularly in complex AI models, can impede effective ethical accounting and compliance efforts, making it difficult to assess fairness or mitigate potential biases [30].

Privacy concerns further highlight the ethical challenges of AI in business. AI technologies often rely on massive amounts of personal data, raising questions about consent, surveillance, and data security [31]. Businesses must adopt privacy-preserving techniques and comply with data protection regulations such as the GDPR to safeguard individuals' rights and maintain legitimacy in the global marketplace [32]. Implementing privacy-by-design principles, where data protection is integrated into the core architecture of AI systems from inception, becomes paramount in fostering this trust and ensuring regulatory compliance [27]. The proliferation of AI in high-risk areas amplifies the urgency for transparent, accountable, and fair AI systems [33]. The increasing complexity of AI systems often exacerbates these transparency issues, leading to an opaqueness that can erode trust among all stakeholders [3].

Ethical frameworks provided by organizations such as UNESCO and the OECD have become reference points for guiding AI adoption [3]. These frameworks emphasize human-centered AI that aligns with democratic values and global sustainability objectives. The challenge lies in translating these high-level ethical principles into concrete practices that businesses can adopt across diverse industries and jurisdictions [34]. This necessitates the development of practical guidelines and industry-specific best practices that enable companies to implement ethical AI principles in their day-to-day operations. Furthermore, the global nature of AI development and deployment requires harmonized ethical guidelines to prevent conflicting regulations that could stifle innovation while upholding fundamental human rights [27]. Several nations have already begun to establish their own regulatory frameworks, such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation and China's Personal Information Protection Law, which offer stringent guidelines for data handling and privacy within AI systems [2], [27].

Ethical AI in business is not a peripheral consideration but a central component of sustainable innovation. By embedding fairness, accountability, transparency, and privacy protection into AI systems, businesses can foster stakeholder trust and long-term resilience [27]. This ethical foundation also sets the stage for aligning AI adoption with broader sustainability objectives, which will be discussed in subsequent sections. This integration of ethical principles ensures that AI development not only adheres to societal values but also contributes positively to environmental and social well-being [35]. Beyond ethical considerations, the sustainable adoption of AI in global business practices necessitates a critical examination of its environmental and socio-economic impacts. This includes assessing the energy consumption of large-scale AI models, the carbon footprint of data centers, and the potential for AI to either exacerbate or alleviate resource depletion. Additionally, the socio-economic implications

of AI, such as workforce displacement and the concentration of economic power, must be carefully managed to ensure equitable distribution of benefits and avoid exacerbating global inequalities [2].

### 3.2. Sustainability Dimensions of AI Adoption in Global Business

In addition to ethical considerations, the sustainable implementation of AI necessitates a thorough evaluation. This sustainability encompasses environmental, economic, and social facets, all of which collectively influence the long-term ramifications of technological advancements [36]. Enterprises that do not harmonize their AI adoption strategies with sustainability principles risk exacerbating environmental deterioration, economic disparities, and societal disruption [9]. This is particularly crucial given that the rapid deployment of AI technologies without such considerations can lead to significant energy consumption and increased carbon footprints, further contributing to environmental degradation [37]. Therefore, a balanced approach is required that leverages AI's transformative potential while mitigating its adverse effects on the planet and society [38].

From an environmental perspective, the considerable energy requirements of AI models, particularly large-scale machine learning systems, present a significant challenge due to the substantial carbon emissions produced during their training phases [39]. Consequently, there is a pressing need to enhance the development of energy-efficient AI architectures and integrate renewable energy sources into AI operational frameworks [40]. This involves not only optimizing AI algorithms for increased energy efficiency but also exploring novel hardware solutions and computational paradigms that reduce overall power consumption.

Economically, while AI can boost productivity and foster new business ventures, its unmanaged adoption risks widening economic disparities. For instance, corporations with advanced technological capabilities might outpace smaller businesses lacking AI infrastructure, potentially amplifying global economic inequalities [41]. Socially, AI's impact on employment patterns, data privacy, and algorithmic bias necessitates careful navigation to ensure that its benefits are equitably distributed across all societal strata [42]. This holistic view of sustainability demands that businesses consider not only the immediate benefits but also the long-term environmental and societal costs associated with AI deployment, fostering a more responsible technological evolution [43].

Socially, the impact of AI on employment is a key consideration. AI-driven automation raises concerns about potential job losses and the devaluation of existing skills. Conversely, AI also cultivates new job prospects in fields like data science, AI management, and digital entrepreneurship [44]. Effectively managing the transition between job displacement and creation is vital for sustainable business evolution. This necessitates robust retraining and upskilling initiatives to equip the workforce with the competencies required for an AI-augmented economy [42]. Moreover, the trend toward increasingly complex and large AI models, while leading to superior performance, concurrently generates substantial environmental costs and resource consumption, necessitating a shift towards more sustainable AI development practices [45].

Businesses should also ensure their AI strategies align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. For example, AI can bolster SDG 9 by advancing technological innovation and contribute to SDG 13 by improving resource efficiency. Integrating AI into sustainability plans requires deliberate planning and international cooperation [46]. The careful integration of AI can also significantly accelerate progress towards other SDGs, such as enhancing healthcare accessibility and improving sustainable agriculture practices through predictive analytics and optimized resource allocation [47]. However, the transformative potential of AI also harbors the risk of exacerbating existing societal inequalities and environmental degradation if not managed responsibly [48]. Specifically, issues such as algorithmic bias, job displacement, and opaque decision-making processes pose significant challenges to equitable development and require proactive mitigation strategies from businesses and policymakers [38].

Furthermore, the sustainability of AI adoption hinges on inclusive governance that prevents the exclusion of marginalized communities from technological benefits. Promoting digital literacy, ensuring equitable access to AI, and fostering global collaboration are crucial to prevent AI from increasing inequality [49]. This requires a paradigm shift towards "AI for good" initiatives that prioritize societal well-being and environmental stewardship over purely economic gains. Addressing these multifaceted challenges necessitates a comprehensive framework for ethical AI governance, integrating principles of transparency, accountability, and fairness into the design, deployment, and operation of AI systems [50].

In essence, achieving sustainable AI adoption in global business involves balancing technological advancement with long-term responsibility. By addressing environmental, economic, and social factors, companies can ensure AI supports, rather than hinders, global sustainability efforts. This includes recognizing that while AI can facilitate numerous sustainability goals, its development and deployment must minimize environmental footprints to truly

contribute positively [51]. However, current research on AI for sustainability often faces challenges due to overreliance on historical data, uncertain human behavioral responses, and difficulties in measuring intervention effects [17]. Future studies should therefore incorporate multilevel views, systems dynamics approaches, and psychological and sociological considerations to provide a more holistic understanding of AI's broader implications [17].

### 3.3. Global Regulatory Frameworks and Governance of Ethical AI

The oversight of artificial intelligence represents a crucial concern within the international business sphere. Due to the borderless nature of AI technologies, isolated corporate or national strategies are insufficient to tackle the intricate ethical and sustainability issues [52]. Therefore, regulatory frameworks must operate at both national and international levels to ensure that businesses adopt AI responsibly while preserving global competitiveness. This necessity for comprehensive governance is underscored by the rapid pace of AI development, which often outstrips the capacity of regulatory bodies to formulate timely and effective policies [19]. This disparity highlights the urgent need for agile regulatory mechanisms that can adapt to emerging AI capabilities and address novel ethical dilemmas effectively [2].

At the national level, numerous countries have instituted guidelines and regulations to foster ethical AI. For instance, the European Union's AI Act establishes standards for transparency, accountability, and risk management in AI systems [53]. Likewise, nations such as Singapore, Canada, and the United States have unveiled frameworks aimed at balancing innovation with ethical safeguards. These endeavors highlight a growing acknowledgment of AI's influence on corporate practices. This trend indicates a global shift towards integrating ethical considerations into the core of AI development and deployment, moving beyond voluntary guidelines to legally enforceable stipulations [2]. However, the effectiveness of these national policies is often challenged by the rapid evolution of AI technology and the need for international harmonization to address cross-border implications effectively [54].

International bodies have also made significant strides in developing global governance mechanisms for AI. The OECD has delineated principles for trustworthy AI, and UNESCO has issued recommendations stressing inclusivity, fairness, and sustainability. These international standards furnish a basis for businesses to align their AI adoption strategies with globally recognized ethical standards. These initiatives aim to foster a shared understanding and common approach to AI governance, promoting responsible innovation while mitigating potential risks [55]. However, significant challenges persist in the global coordination of these frameworks, especially in harmonizing disparate legal traditions and ensuring universal compliance across diverse geopolitical landscapes.

Despite these efforts, challenges persist in aligning regulations across different jurisdictions. Businesses operating internationally must contend with fragmented regulatory environments where standards differ in scope, enforcement, and interpretation [56]. This fragmentation can impose compliance burdens, escalate costs, and result in inconsistent ethical practices across global operations. This necessitates a proactive approach from businesses to develop robust internal governance structures that can adapt to varying legal requirements while upholding a universal ethical standard [2]. Furthermore, the development of a global consensus on AI ethics, through meta-analysis of existing guidelines, is critical to overcome these jurisdictional discrepancies and facilitate a more coherent regulatory landscape [21]. A meta-analysis of over 200 global AI governance policies and ethical guidelines has revealed at least 17 resonating principles, suggesting a potential path towards such a consensus.

Furthermore, regulatory frameworks often struggle to adapt to the rapid advancements in AI technologies. New developments, including generative AI, autonomous decision-making systems, and AI-driven blockchain applications, introduce novel ethical dilemmas that existing regulations may not adequately address [57]. This disparity between technological innovation and regulatory response risks compromising effective governance. This highlights the critical need for agile and adaptive regulatory mechanisms that can evolve concurrently with technological progress, ensuring that ethical considerations remain at the forefront of AI development and deployment [58]. To address this, an adaptive AI governance framework could integrate risk-tiered oversight with innovation accelerators and strategic alignment mechanisms, bridging existing regulatory gaps and fostering responsible AI development [59]. The transition from soft law to binding regulation underscores the urgency of clarifying the scope and substance of AI regulation to prevent regulatory fragmentation and ensure democratic rights [60].

The private sector also contributes significantly to governance through the adoption of internal ethical codes and corporate social responsibility initiatives that supplement formal regulations. Many prominent corporations have established AI ethics committees, published transparency reports, and committed to sustainable digital transformation [61]. These corporate actions not only reinforce compliance but also enhance brand reputation and

stakeholder confidence. These internal guidelines often go beyond legal mandates, demonstrating a proactive stance towards responsible AI development and deployment. This self-regulation, while valuable, must be viewed in conjunction with governmental oversight to ensure universal adherence and prevent competitive disadvantages for companies that prioritize ethical practices [62].

Global regulatory frameworks and governance structures are indispensable for guiding the ethical and sustainable adoption of AI in business. However, persistent gaps in harmonization, enforcement, and adaptability underscore the need for enhanced collaboration among governments, international institutions, and private corporations [56]. This governance perspective is essential for integrating ethical principles into AI-driven business practices worldwide. This collaborative effort is crucial for establishing a robust, universally applicable framework that can effectively navigate the complexities of AI ethics and ensure its beneficial integration into global commerce [63]. This comprehensive approach will facilitate the development of AI systems that not only drive economic growth but also uphold societal values and human rights. A proactive integration of ethical considerations and robust governance mechanisms within every stage of AI development and deployment is essential for realizing AI's transformative potential responsibly and sustainably [64], [65].

### **3.4. Ethical and Sustainable AI in Corporate Strategy and Innovation**

The integration of ethical and sustainable artificial intelligence into corporate strategy signifies a fundamental alteration in business approaches to innovation. While traditional corporate innovation has primarily been driven by profit maximization and market expansion, contemporary businesses are increasingly expected to embed ethical principles and sustainability objectives into their strategic frameworks [66]. This ensures that AI-driven growth is aligned with broader societal and environmental obligations. This shift necessitates a re-evaluation of how AI technologies are designed, deployed, and governed, moving beyond mere compliance to a proactive embrace of responsible innovation [55]. This involves developing detailed ethical frameworks, integrating ethics into professional training, and conducting ethical impact audits to ensure that AI applications are aligned with corporate values and societal well-being [67]. This approach not only mitigates risks associated with algorithmic bias and data privacy but also fosters trust among consumers and stakeholders [68].

A significant strategic opportunity arises from the development of AI systems that inherently prioritize ethical outcomes within product and service innovation. For example, enterprises can create AI-enabled platforms designed to mitigate bias in recruitment processes, improve accessibility for underrepresented populations, or optimize resource efficiency across supply chains [69]. These advancements illustrate how ethical considerations can be harmonized with financial viability. Furthermore, companies that strategically embed ethical AI into their core operations often gain a competitive advantage by building stronger brand loyalty and attracting socially conscious talent [70]. This dual benefit underscores the importance of proactive ethical integration within AI strategies, as it transcends mere compliance to become a catalyst for competitive differentiation and long-term value creation.

Furthermore, sustainable AI innovation yields competitive advantages in international markets. Corporations demonstrating a commitment to ethical and sustainable practices attract investors focused on environmental, social, and governance criteria [71]. Concurrently, consumers tend to favor brands that resonate with their values, rewarding companies that deploy AI responsibly with enhanced loyalty and market share. This strategic alignment with stakeholder values not only bolsters financial performance but also cultivates a resilient organizational culture capable of navigating the complex ethical landscape of advanced AI applications [1]. This symbiotic relationship between ethical conduct and economic success transforms ethical AI from a regulatory burden into a strategic asset, driving both innovation and responsible growth [72]. Ethical leadership is paramount in steering organizations through this transformative period, ensuring that AI development and deployment uphold moral principles and promote trust among all stakeholders [73].

The incorporation of AI into corporate sustainability strategies also necessitates a re-evaluation of internal operations. For instance, adopting "green AI" technologies can reduce the energy footprint of data centers, while AI-powered monitoring systems can assist companies in tracking and minimizing their carbon emissions. These operational adjustments not only bolster environmental sustainability but also lead to long-term reductions in operational costs. Moreover, AI can significantly enhance supply chain transparency and resilience by optimizing logistics and identifying potential vulnerabilities, thus contributing to a more robust and ethically sound global business ecosystem. This includes leveraging AI to improve predictive maintenance, optimize resource allocation, and enhance efficiency across various operational facets [35]. Beyond direct operational enhancements, AI's capacity for advanced data analysis empowers organizations to better understand their environmental impact and identify opportunities for sustainable innovation [74].

A primary challenge involves achieving a balance between short-term profitability and long-term ethical and sustainability goals. The development of ethical AI systems and the adoption of sustainable technologies may require substantial initial investments, potentially deterring organizations focused on immediate financial returns [75]. Consequently, strategic leadership is crucial for aligning corporate vision with enduring societal benefits. This necessitates a shift in organizational culture towards prioritizing responsible innovation and embedding ethical considerations throughout the AI development lifecycle, ensuring that AI systems contribute positively to both business outcomes and societal welfare [1]. Furthermore, the critical role of ethical leadership becomes evident in guiding organizations to develop AI responsibly, ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability in its application [76].

Inter-organizational and inter-sectoral collaboration further strengthens the strategic integration of ethical AI. Partnerships involving corporations, governmental bodies, and civil society organizations can foster the development of shared standards, best practices, and innovations that transcend the capabilities of individual entities. Such collaborative endeavors are vital for scaling the adoption of sustainable AI across global business ecosystems. These collaborations are instrumental in addressing complex ethical dilemmas and fostering an environment where AI technologies are developed and deployed responsibly, thereby accelerating progress towards a more equitable and sustainable digital future [8]. This collective effort fosters robust governance frameworks and accelerates the implementation of AI solutions that are not only technologically advanced but also ethically sound and environmentally responsible [77].

Ultimately, embedding ethical and sustainable AI within corporate strategy and innovation reshapes the societal role of businesses. Companies are transitioning from being solely economic entities to becoming custodians of ethical progress and environmental stewardship. By aligning AI innovation with corporate responsibility, businesses can simultaneously drive profitability, generate positive social impact, and promote environmental sustainability, thereby redefining the future trajectory of global commerce. This transformative approach requires robust ethical leadership to navigate the complexities inherent in AI development and deployment [76]. This comprehensive approach necessitates a deep understanding of AI's societal implications and a commitment to integrating ethical considerations throughout its lifecycle, from design to deployment [3].

#### **4. Conclusion**

The adoption of artificial intelligence in global business practices presents both opportunities and challenges that demand careful ethical and sustainable considerations. On the one hand, AI offers the potential to improve efficiency, foster innovation, and enhance competitiveness across industries. On the other hand, it raises critical concerns regarding fairness, accountability, transparency, and environmental impact. This research underscores that ethical principles must be embedded into AI systems to safeguard human rights, protect data privacy, and build stakeholder trust. At the same time, sustainability must be pursued by addressing the environmental footprint of AI technologies, promoting inclusive access, and aligning with global development goals. Regulatory frameworks, both national and international, play an indispensable role in guiding AI adoption, yet fragmented governance and the rapid pace of technological change remain significant obstacles. The study concludes that businesses should integrate ethical and sustainable AI into corporate strategies not merely as a compliance measure, but as a strategic driver for long-term resilience, social responsibility, and market competitiveness.

#### **Acknowledgment**

The researcher wishes to extend profound appreciation to Universitas Ary Ginanjar for its generous financial support and institutional assistance throughout the course of this research. The provision of material resources, academic guidance, and an enabling environment for scholarly work has played a pivotal role in ensuring the successful completion of this study. Such support not only facilitated the smooth execution of the research process but also reflected the University's unwavering commitment to advancing knowledge, fostering innovation, and encouraging the academic growth of its researchers. The researcher is particularly grateful for the University's recognition of the importance of this study, which seeks to contribute to contemporary debates on artificial intelligence and communication strategies. Without the invaluable support and encouragement from Universitas Ary Ginanjar, this work would not have been possible. The researcher sincerely acknowledges this contribution as a significant factor in the realization of the objectives and outcomes of this research.

#### **Reference**

- [1] U. C. Kandasamy, "Ethical Leadership in the Age of AI Challenges, Opportunities and Framework for Ethical Leadership," 2024, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2410.18095.
- [2] A. Ferhataj, F. Memaj, R. Sahatcija, A. Ora, and E. Koka, "Ethical concerns in AI development: analyzing students' perspectives on

- robotics and society,” *J. Inf. Commun. Ethics Soc.*, 2025, doi: 10.1108/jices-08-2024-0111.
- [3] F. O. Olatoye, K. F. Awonuga, N. Z. Mhlongo, C. V. Ibeh, O. A. Elufioye, and N. L. Ndubuisi, “AI and ethics in business: A comprehensive review of responsible AI practices and corporate responsibility,” 2024. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.1.0235.
- [4] M. Samarawickrama, “AI Governance and Ethics Framework for Sustainable AI and Sustainability,” *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2022, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2210.08984.
- [5] M. Moharrak and E. Mogaji, “Generative AI in banking: empirical insights on integration, challenges and opportunities in a regulated industry,” *Int. J. Bank Mark.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ijbm-08-2024-0490.
- [6] M. Gerlich, “Perceptions and Acceptance of Artificial Intelligence: A Multi-Dimensional Study,” *Soc. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 502, 2023, doi: 10.3390/socsci12090502.
- [7] O. Angela and O. M. Odewuyi, “Mitigating AI bias in financial decision-making: A DEI perspective,” *World J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1822–1838, 2024, doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.3.3894.
- [8] M. Maiti, P. Kayal, and A. Vujko, “A study on ethical implications of artificial intelligence adoption in business: challenges and best practices,” *Futur. Bus. J.*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1186/s43093-025-00462-5.
- [9] J. Sipola, M. Saunila, and J. Ukko, “Adopting artificial intelligence in sustainable business,” *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 426, p. 139197, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139197.
- [10] Y. I. Alzoubi and A. Mishra, “ChatGPT technology contribution in business innovation, policy and management,” *J. Sci. Technol. Policy Manag.*, 2025, doi: 10.1108/jstpm-10-2023-0173.
- [11] C. Baah, Z. Jin, and L. Tang, “Organizational and regulatory stakeholder pressures friends or foes to green logistics practices and financial performance: Investigating corporate reputation as a missing link,” *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 247, p. 119125, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119125.
- [12] I. M. Enholm, E. Papagiannidis, P. Mikalef, and J. Krogstie, “Artificial Intelligence and Business Value: a Literature Review,” 2021, *Springer Science+Business Media*. doi: 10.1007/s10796-021-10186-w.
- [13] R. J. Tumpa and L. M. Naeni, “Improving decision-making and stakeholder engagement at project governance using digital technology for sustainable infrastructure projects,” *Smart Sustain. Built Environ.*, 2025, doi: 10.1108/sasbe-10-2024-0451.
- [14] M. B. Siqueira, V. M. dos Santos, E. H. Diniz, and A. P. A. Cruz, “Artificial Intelligence for Sustainability: A Systematic Literature Review in Information Systems,” *Rev. Gestão Soc. e Ambient.*, vol. 18, no. 3, 2024, doi: 10.24857/rgsa.v18n3-178.
- [15] J. Khakurel, B. Penzenstadler, J. Porras, A. Knutas, and W. Zhang, “The Rise of Artificial Intelligence under the Lens of Sustainability,” *Technologies*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 100, 2018, doi: 10.3390/technologies6040100.
- [16] A. E.N. and R. Sridharan, “Sustainable Supply Chain Management: A Literature Review and Implications for Future Research,” 2019.
- [17] R. Nishant, M. Kennedy, and J. Corbett, “Artificial intelligence for sustainability: Challenges, opportunities, and a research agenda,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 53, p. 102104, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102104.
- [18] R. Chand, “Framework for Identifying Research Gaps for Future Academic Research,” *IRA Int. J. Educ. Multidiscip. Stud.*, 2023, doi: 10.21013/jems.v19.n2.p12.
- [19] C. Roche, P. J. Wall, and D. Lewis, “Ethics and diversity in artificial intelligence policies, strategies and initiatives,” *AI Ethics*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1095–1115, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s43681-022-00218-9.
- [20] C. Huang, Z. Zhang, B. Mao, and X. Yao, “An Overview of Artificial Intelligence Ethics,” *IEEE Trans. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 799–819, 2022, doi: 10.1109/tai.2022.3194503.
- [21] N. K. Corrêa *et al.*, “Worldwide AI ethics: A review of 200 guidelines and recommendations for AI governance,” 2023, *Elsevier BV*. doi: 10.1016/j.patter.2023.100857.
- [22] M. T. Daza and U. J. Ilozumba, “A survey of AI ethics in business literature: Maps and trends between 2000 and 2021,” 2022, *Frontiers Media*. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1042661.
- [23] Q. Lu, L. Zhu, X. Xu, J. Whittle, D. Douglas, and C. Sanderson, “Software engineering for Responsible AI: An empirical study and operationalised patterns,” pp. 241–242, 2022, doi: 10.1109/icse-seip55303.2022.9793864.
- [24] C. Elendu *et al.*, “Ethical implications of AI and robotics in healthcare: A review,” 2023, *Wolters Kluwer*. doi: 10.1097/md.00000000000036671.
- [25] E. Kazim and A. Koshiyama, “A high-level overview of AI ethics,” 2021, *Elsevier BV*. doi: 10.1016/j.patter.2021.100314.
- [26] A. Dhopte and H. Bagde, “Smart Smile: Revolutionizing Dentistry With Artificial Intelligence,” 2023, *Cureus, Inc.* doi: 10.7759/cureus.41227.
- [27] L. Zhui, F. Li, X. Wang, Q. Fu, and R. Wei, “Ethical Considerations and Fundamental Principles of Large Language Models in Medical Education: Viewpoint,” *J. Med. Internet Res.*, vol. 26, 2024, doi: 10.2196/60083.
- [28] S. Avin *et al.*, “Filling gaps in trustworthy development of AI,” *Science (80-. )*, vol. 374, no. 6573, pp. 1327–1329, 2021, doi: 10.1126/science.abi7176.
- [29] J. Ayling and A. Chapman, “Putting AI ethics to work: are the tools fit for purpose?,” *AI Ethics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 405–429, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s43681-021-00084-x.

- [30] B. O. Adalakun, T. G. Majekodunmi, and O. S. Akintoye, "AI and ethical accounting: Navigating challenges and opportunities," *Int. J. Adv. Econ.*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 224–241, 2024, doi: 10.51594/ijae.v6i6.1230.
- [31] S. Bankins and P. Formosa, "The Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) For Meaningful Work," *J. Bus. Ethics*, vol. 185, no. 4, pp. 725–740, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10551-023-05339-7.
- [32] R. Khurana, "Fraud Detection in eCommerce Payment Systems: The Role of Predictive AI in Real-Time Transaction Security and Risk Management," 2020.
- [33] C. Cath, "Governing artificial intelligence: ethical, legal and technical opportunities and challenges," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 376, no. 2133, p. 20180080, 2018, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2018.0080.
- [34] D. Tjondronegoro, E. Yuwono, B. Richards, D. Green, and S. Hatakka, "Responsible AI Implementation: A Human-centered Framework for Accelerating the Innovation Process," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2022, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2209.07076.
- [35] S. J. Bickley *et al.*, "The future is yesterday: Use of AI-driven facial recognition to enhance value in the travel and tourism industry," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1–6, 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5057432.
- [36] F. Rohde, J. Wagner, A. Meyer, P. Reinhard, M. Voß, and U. Petschow, "Broadening the perspective for sustainable AI: Comprehensive sustainability criteria and indicators for AI systems," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2023, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.13686.
- [37] R. I. M. Almoselhy and A. Usmani, "AI in Food Science: Exploring Core Elements, Challenges, and Future Directions," *Open Access J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1–15, 2024, doi: 10.23880/oajmb-16000313.
- [38] R. Dhiman, S. Miteff, Y. Wang, S.-C. Ma, R. Amirikas, and B. Fabian, "Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability—A Review," *Analytics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 140–164, 2024, doi: 10.3390/analytics3010008.
- [39] J. Morrison, C. Na, J. Fernandez, T. Dettmers, E. Strubell, and J. Dodge, "Holistically Evaluating the Environmental Impact of Creating Language Models," 2025, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2503.05804.
- [40] D. B. Olawade, O. Z. Wada, A. C. David-Olawade, O. Fapohunda, A. O. Ige, and J. Ling, "Artificial intelligence potential for net zero sustainability: Current evidence and prospects," *Next Sustain.*, vol. 4, p. 100041, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.nxsust.2024.100041.
- [41] V. F. Savec and S. Jedrinović, "The Role of AI Implementation in Higher Education in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals: A Case Study from Slovenia," *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 183, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su17010183.
- [42] A. N. I. Purwanto and A. A. Hanif, "Strategic Synergy: Integrating Business Management with Computer Science for Competitive Advantage," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–18, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.23.
- [43] M. A. Mondol, M. A. Uddaula, M. S. Hossain, and M. A. Siddika, "AI-Powered Frameworks for the Detection and Prevention of Cyberbullying Across Social Media Ecosystems," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.59.
- [44] D. Patil, "Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment and Workforce Development: Risks, Opportunities, and Socioeconomic Implications," 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5010441.
- [45] Elbadiansyah, Z. H. Sain, U. S. Lawal, C. C. Thelma, and A. L. Aziz, "Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Student Motivation and Cognitive Development in Higher Education," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 59–67, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.47.
- [46] I. Palomares *et al.*, "A panoramic view and swot analysis of artificial intelligence for achieving the sustainable development goals by 2030: progress and prospects," *Appl. Intell.*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 6497–6527, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10489-021-02264-y.
- [47] T. Bonny, W. Al Nassan, K. Obaideen, M. N. Al Mallahi, Y. Mohammad, and H. M. El-Damanhoury, "Contemporary Role and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Dentistry," *F1000Research*, vol. 12, p. 1179, 2023, doi: 10.12688/f1000research.140204.1.
- [48] T. A. Victoire, A. Karunamurthy, S. Sandhiya, and S. Yuvaraj, "Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability," *Quing Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 141–156, 2023, doi: 10.54368/qijirse.2.2.0016.
- [49] A. Sigfrids, J. Leikas, H. Salo-Pöntinen, and E. Koskimies, "Human-centricity in AI governance: A systemic approach," *Front. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 6, 2023, doi: 10.3389/frai.2023.976887.
- [50] L. Floridi and J. Cowsls, "A Unified Framework of Five Principles for AI in Society," *Harvard data Sci. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1.
- [51] G. Kim, A. Rothschild, C. DiSalvo, and B. DiSalvo, "What's Your Stake in Sustainability of AI?: An Informed Insider's Guide," vol. 7, pp. 738–750, 2024, doi: 10.1609/aies.v7i1.31675.
- [52] A. Schreiber and I. Schreiber, "Bridging knowledge gap: the contribution of employees' awareness of AI cyber risks comprehensive program to reducing emerging AI digital threats," *Inf. Comput. Secur.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 613–635, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ics-10-2023-0199.
- [53] A. Rochim, F. A. Julian, and A. Maulidizen, "Exploring the Ethical Dimensions of Halal Supply Chain Management with AI," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 83–94, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.53.
- [54] T. Saheb and T. Saheb, "Mapping Ethical Artificial Intelligence Policy Landscape: A Mixed Method Analysis," *Sci. Eng. Ethics*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11948-024-00472-6.
- [55] K. Nilgiriwala *et al.*, "Navigating the Governance of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Asian Nations: A Focus on India, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2024, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4735279.

- [56] N. S. Azzaky, A. Salimah, and C. R. Saputri, "Revolutionizing Business: The Role of AI in Driving Industry 4.0," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–37, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.24>.
- [57] A. A. T. J. Cassidy, A. Fuad, and M. U. A. A. Shofy, "Emerging Trends and Challenges in Digital Crime: A Study of Cyber Criminal Tactics and Countermeasures," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 38–45, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.25>.
- [58] A. N. I. Purwanto, M. Fauzan, T. Widya, and N. S. Azzaky, "Ethical Implications and Challenges of AI Implementation in Business Operations," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 68–82, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.52>.
- [59] V. Kulothungan and D. Gupta, "Towards Adaptive AI Governance: Comparative Insights from the U.S., EU, and Asia," 2025, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2504.00652.
- [60] S. Alanoca, S. Gur-Arieh, T. Zick, and K. Klyman, "Comparing Apples to Oranges: A Taxonomy for Navigating the Global Landscape of AI Regulation," pp. 914–937, 2025, doi: 10.1145/3715275.3732059.
- [61] A. V. Abdussalam and G. A. Auladi, "Pushing Boundaries: AI and Computer Science in the Era of Technological Revolution," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 01–09, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.22>.
- [62] T. Züger and H. Asghari, "AI for the public. How public interest theory shifts the discourse on AI," *AI Soc.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 815–828, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00146-022-01480-5.
- [63] N. X. Sun, Y. Miao, H. Jiang, M. D. Ding, and J. Zhang, "From Principles to Practice: A Deep Dive into AI Ethics and Regulations," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2024, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2412.04683.
- [64] M. T. Sacramed, "Reviewing the Philippines Legal Landscape of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Business: Addressing Bias, Explainability, and Algorithmic Accountability," *Int. J. Res. Innov. Soc. Sci.*, pp. 2506–2510, 2024, doi: 10.47772/ijriss.2024.805181.
- [65] F. Pesapane *et al.*, "Legal and Regulatory Framework for AI Solutions in Healthcare in EU, US, China, and Russia: New Scenarios after a Pandemic," *Radiation*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 261–276, 2021, doi: 10.3390/radiation1040022.
- [66] G. A. Auladi and F. Muwahid, "Cybersecurity and Moral Responsibility: A Philosophical-Islamic Approach to Digital Trust," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 53–65, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.93>.
- [67] E. Hernández, "Towards an Ethical and Inclusive Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Organizations: A Multidimensional Framework," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2024, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2405.01697.
- [68] B. Lainjo, "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals," *J. Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 30, 2024, doi: 10.5539/jsd.v17n5p30.
- [69] M. A. Qasimi, "Solving the Travelling Salesman Problem Using the PSO Optimization," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 19–27, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.20>.
- [70] J. Shabbir and T. Anwer, "Artificial Intelligence and its Role in Near Future," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2018, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.1804.01396.
- [71] J. R. Prasmanto, A. Ma'ruf, M. F. Assidiq, and M. F. Diyaulhaq, "Managing Digital Economic Corridors: International Cooperation and Regional Integration in the Digital Age," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–52, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.63>.
- [72] R. Machucho-Cadena and O. González, "The Impacts of Artificial Intelligence on Business Innovation: A Comprehensive Review of Applications, Organizational Challenges, and Ethical Considerations," 2025, *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*. doi: 10.3390/systems13040264.
- [73] A. Batoool, D. Zowghi, and M. Bano, "AI governance: a systematic literature review," *AI Ethics*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s43681-024-00653-w.
- [74] G. Sklavos, G. Theodossiou, Z. Papanikolaou, C. Karelakis, and K. Ragazou, "Environmental, Social, and Governance-Based Artificial Intelligence Governance: Digitalizing Firms' Leadership and Human Resources Management," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 16, p. 7154, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16167154.
- [75] A. Setiawan, A. Mufti, F. A. Mau, A. Purkoni, and A. Setiawan, "Bridging Cybersecurity and Enterprise Risk Management in the Digital Era," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.66>.
- [76] A. S. M. A. Uddin, "The Era of AI: Upholding Ethical Leadership," *Open J. Leadersh.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 400–417, 2023, doi: 10.4236/ojl.2023.124019.
- [77] M. Samarawickrama, "AI Governance and Ethics Framework for Sustainable AI and Sustainability," *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2022, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2210.08984.