



Department of Digital Business

**Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business (RIGGS)**

Homepage: <https://journal.ilmudata.co.id/index.php/RIGGS>

Vol. 4 No. 3 (2025) pp: 2844-2852

P-ISSN: 2963-9298, e-ISSN: 2963-914X

---

## Virtual Key Opinion Leaders: How AI-Generated Influencers Transform Communication Strategies

Setiyo Hayati<sup>1</sup>, Isti Budhi Setiawati<sup>2</sup>, L.M. Novrianto<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Communication Sciences, Universitas Ary Ginanjar, Indonesia

<sup>1</sup>[setiyo.hayati@uag.ac.id](mailto:setiyo.hayati@uag.ac.id), <sup>2</sup>[isti.b.setiawati@uag.ac.id](mailto:isti.b.setiawati@uag.ac.id), <sup>3</sup>[lm.novrianto@esqbs.ac.id](mailto:lm.novrianto@esqbs.ac.id)

### Abstract

*The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into communication strategies has catalyzed the emergence of virtual key opinion leaders (KOLs), also known as AI-generated influencers. These entities are reshaping the dynamics of marketing, audience engagement, and brand communication by offering cost efficiency, scalability, and narrative consistency. This study examines the transformative role of virtual KOLs through a qualitative, library-based approach that synthesizes scholarly works, industry reports, and conceptual frameworks. The findings highlight four central dimensions: authenticity and trust, emotional engagement and parasocial relationships, strategic message effectiveness, and the ethical, social, and cultural implications of AI-mediated influence. While AI influencers can simulate authenticity and foster parasocial bonds through anthropomorphism, their artificiality raises questions about credibility, transparency, and ethical responsibility. Data-driven personalization enhances message targeting but introduces privacy concerns and risks of manipulative persuasion. Additionally, the adaptability of virtual KOLs across cultural markets provides opportunities for global engagement, yet also risks cultural appropriation and algorithmic bias. The analysis underscores that trust and authenticity in AI influencers are socially constructed rather than experience-based, requiring careful narrative design and transparent practices. Ultimately, virtual KOLs represent both an opportunity and a challenge: they enhance efficiency and personalization in strategic communication but necessitate robust ethical frameworks to safeguard consumer trust and autonomy. By addressing these complexities, this research contributes to advancing theoretical discourse in communication studies while offering practical insights for marketing professionals navigating the evolving digital ecosystem.*

*Keywords: Virtual Key Opinion Leaders; AI Influencers; Strategic Communication; Authenticity and Trust; Ethical Implications*

### 1. Introduction

The digital age necessitates effective communication strategies that leverage emergent technologies and evolving user behaviors. [1] This imperative extends to the strategic integration of artificial intelligence in public relations and marketing, particularly concerning novel modalities such as virtual key opinion leaders. [2] This paradigm shift demands a nuanced understanding of how AI-generated influencers reshape brand messaging and audience engagement dynamics, moving beyond traditional human-centric approaches [3]. Conversely, empirical observations indicate a burgeoning reliance on artificial intelligence in influencer marketing, leading to a proliferation of AI-generated influencers [4]

These virtual entities, also known as AI influencers or CGI influencers, are capable of amassing substantial social media followings and often achieve engagement rates significantly higher than their human counterparts, sometimes up to 3.5 times greater [5]. This ascendancy is attributed to their perpetual availability, cost-effectiveness, and the complete creative control they offer brands, alongside their compelling narrative capabilities that simulate human-like interactions and personalities [6]. Despite these advantages, the nascent field of AI-generated influencers presents significant unexplored areas, particularly regarding consumer perceptions and the specific mechanisms through which they influence purchase intentions and engagement [7]. Prior research has explored the efficacy of AI social media influencers and their impact on consumer perceptions, often comparing them to traditional human influencers [8].

For instance, some studies indicate that virtual influencers can be perceived as equally trustworthy and credible, sometimes even more so, leading to increased purchase intention [9]. However, there remains a notable research gap concerning the precise communicative strategies employed by AI-generated influencers and their differential impact on various demographic segments. This investigation addresses that gap by exploring how AI-generated

influencers transform communication strategies, focusing on their unique attributes and the mechanisms through which they influence audience perception and behavior [8] [10]. The study also aims to delineate the ethical implications and potential challenges associated with deploying virtual key opinion leaders in diverse communication contexts. This research endeavors to provide a comprehensive understanding of these phenomena, contributing to both theoretical frameworks in communication studies and practical guidelines for digital marketing professionals.

## **2. Research Methods**

This research adopts qualitative research with the library research method. This approach facilitates a comprehensive review of existing academic literature, industry reports, and conceptual frameworks pertaining to AI-generated influencers and their impact on communication strategies [11]. This methodology enables the synthesis of diverse perspectives, allowing for the identification of recurring themes, contentious issues, and lacunae in current scholarly discourse [12][13][14]. The analytical framework for this study will involve a thematic analysis of the gathered literature, categorizing insights related to the creation, deployment, and audience reception of virtual key opinion leaders. This approach specifically allows for the exploration of their communicative effectiveness, ethical considerations, and evolving roles in digital marketing ecosystems.

This will involve critical examination of how AI-driven content generation, algorithmic personalization, and data analytics contribute to the persuasive capabilities of these synthetic entities. The methodology further involves assessing the theoretical underpinnings of influencer marketing in the context of AI, evaluating how established models of persuasion and social influence apply to non-human communicators [14]. This involves a comparative analysis of established influencer marketing frameworks with emerging paradigms centered on AI-generated content and synthetic personalities, thereby identifying unique challenges and opportunities. This comparative analysis will underscore the methodological implications for future service design and innovation studies, particularly in addressing the "replication crisis" through more robust and generalizable findings [15].

Moreover, the method will critically evaluate existing literature on the methodological implications of AI-driven tools in service research, aiming to identify best practices for integrating augmented language models into research designs [15]. This systematic approach will also involve a critical assessment of the limitations inherent in current research, such as potential biases in data sources or the nascent stage of empirical studies on AI-generated influencers [16]. Furthermore, the analytical process will extend to scrutinizing the ethical dimensions of AI-generated content, considering issues such as authenticity, transparency, and potential societal impacts [17].

## **3. Results and Discussions**

### **3.1. Authenticity and Trust in Virtual Key Opinion Leaders**

Authenticity remains a cornerstone of effective communication, particularly in the domain of influencer marketing. Traditional Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) build credibility by sharing genuine experiences, personal values, and emotional transparency. However, when KOLs are AI-generated, questions inevitably arise about whether they can embody these same traits. The concept of authenticity becomes more complex as audiences interact with virtual entities that simulate human behavior but lack lived experience [18]. Research indicates that while AI-generated content can diminish follower reactions due to reduced authenticity perceptions, this aversion lessens if AI assists human content creation rather than fully automating it, suggesting a nuanced relationship between AI involvement and perceived genuineness [19]. Conversely, studies have shown that individuals might prefer empathetic AI responses over human ones in certain digital environments, which could impact perceptions of trustworthiness [20].

Virtual KOLs often rely on design features, storytelling techniques, and carefully curated identities to simulate authenticity [13]. While these strategies can create a sense of realness, they may also expose contradictions when audiences discover that the influencer's experiences are fabricated [21]. This raises critical concerns about whether trust can be fully established when authenticity is mediated by algorithmic construction [22]. Furthermore, the absence of human agency in AI-generated influencers introduces complexities regarding accountability and ethical responsibility, particularly when misinformation or manipulative communication strategies are inadvertently or intentionally propagated [23].

Research suggests that audiences are willing to suspend disbelief and perceive virtual influencers as trustworthy if their content aligns with expectations of reliability, consistency, and transparency [13]. This demonstrates that authenticity is not only derived from human experience but also constructed through consistent symbolic cues. Virtual KOLs who are designed to appear reliable and relatable can effectively persuade audiences despite their

artificial nature [24]. However, the curated nature of virtual influencers, while offering consistency and brand safety, can also engender skepticism regarding their authenticity and trustworthiness [25]. For instance, the imperative for virtual influencers to possess human attributes such as empathy and human likeness underscores the complex interplay between simulated authenticity and consumer valuation of genuineness in promoting brands [26].

Nevertheless, authenticity in virtual influencers remains fragile. Audiences who uncover discrepancies between narrative and reality may experience a decline in trust, leading to skepticism and disengagement [11]. This dynamic highlights the vulnerability of virtual KOLs compared to their human counterparts, whose authenticity is grounded in tangible life experiences. This vulnerability is particularly pronounced given that content inaccuracies and the potential for "AI hallucinations" can significantly undermine credibility, as over 80% of respondents in a relevant survey highlighted [27]. The phenomenon of "coherent nonsense," where AI generates plausible yet factually incorrect responses, further exacerbates this issue, posing a significant risk to brand reputation and consumer trust [13].

Ultimately, the negotiation of authenticity and trust in virtual KOLs reflects a redefinition of credibility in the digital communication landscape [28]. Rather than being rooted solely in lived experience, authenticity now emerges as a constructed perception shaped by design, storytelling, and audience interpretation [29]. This transformation compels scholars and practitioners to reconsider how trust is generated in mediated communication environments. This paradigm shift necessitates a deeper investigation into the psychological mechanisms by which audiences attribute authenticity to non-human entities and the factors that sustain or erode this perception over time [30].

### 3.2. Emotional Engagement and Parasocial Relationships

Emotional engagement plays a pivotal role in establishing meaningful connections between influencers and audiences [1]. Human KOLs foster this engagement through emotional self-disclosure, empathy, and interactive dialogue. Virtual KOLs, in contrast, simulate these emotional expressions using pre-programmed responses, anthropomorphic features, and narrative cues designed to evoke empathy [31]. However, the inherent limitations of AI in fully capturing the depth of human experience and decision-making processes may impede the formation of profound parasocial relationships [15].

Anthropomorphism is central to the success of emotional engagement with virtual influencers [32]. When audiences perceive AI-generated KOLs as lifelike—through facial expressions, body language, and humanlike speech—they are more likely to attribute emotions, intentions, and moral values to them. This humanlike quality encourages audiences to interact with virtual KOLs as though they were real individuals [33]. This anthropomorphic projection facilitates the development of parasocial relationships, where audiences form one-sided emotional bonds with virtual personalities, despite lacking direct reciprocal interaction [34].

Parasocial relationships, which traditionally describe one-sided emotional bonds with media figures, are now extending to virtual KOLs [35]. Studies indicate that audiences can form strong attachments to AI-generated influencers, deriving companionship, admiration, and even trust from these relationships [27]. These bonds contribute significantly to brand loyalty and consumer engagement in marketing contexts. Furthermore, the level of humanlikeness in virtual influencers' appearance can significantly influence the strength of these emotional connections, with less humanlike virtual influencers potentially eliciting stronger positive emotional expressions from consumers [36].

However, the artificiality of these relationships raises ethical questions. While parasocial bonds may enhance audience engagement, they may also exploit emotional vulnerability, particularly among younger or less media-literate audiences [37]. The ability of AI to simulate empathy blurs the line between genuine connection and manufactured affect, challenging the ethics of emotional persuasion [33]. Moreover, the growing prevalence of AI companions capable of fostering deep companionship-like bonds with users introduces novel psychological and social considerations, particularly concerning the potential for developing emotional attachments to non-human entities and its implications for well-being [38][39].

Despite these concerns, emotional engagement through virtual KOLs is reshaping the nature of mediated relationships. The capacity of AI to sustain parasocial bonds suggests that emotional connection is not exclusive to human influencers [40]. Instead, it becomes a function of symbolic interaction and design—inviting new debates about the authenticity, ethics, and sustainability of such relationships [41]. The proliferation of AI-driven communication further necessitates a critical examination of how simulated emotional intelligence influences user

perceptions and behaviors, especially given concerns about the potential for 'emotional fast food' substitutes for genuine human connection [42][43].

### **3.3. Strategic Communication and Message Effectiveness**

Strategic communication relies on credibility, message framing, and audience targeting to achieve persuasive outcomes [44]. Virtual KOLs introduce new possibilities by integrating AI-driven personalization with influencer communication, creating tailored messages that align closely with consumer preferences and behaviors [27]. This allows for highly efficient dissemination of targeted campaigns, optimizing message resonance and impact at an unprecedented scale within the digital marketing landscape [13]. This precision in message delivery can significantly enhance conversion rates and foster brand loyalty, transforming traditional marketing paradigms.

Brands increasingly employ virtual influencers to promote products and services because of their capacity to maintain consistent branding and avoid risks associated with human influencers, such as scandals or unpredictable behavior [15]. Virtual KOLs provide marketers with greater control over narrative consistency and campaign execution. This control extends to message effectiveness, as virtual influencers can be meticulously crafted to embody specific brand values and target demographics, ensuring highly resonant communication [10]. Moreover, AI-driven analytics can optimize content delivery, ensuring messages reach the most receptive audience segments at opportune moments, thereby maximizing campaign impact [13].

AI-powered personalization further amplifies message effectiveness by analyzing consumer data to deliver content that resonates with individual needs and values [45]. Virtual KOLs can therefore enhance message relevance, increase consumer receptivity, and improve overall campaign outcomes. This personalized approach strengthens the persuasive power of strategic communication [46]. Conversely, the novelty and digital nature of virtual influencers have demonstrated efficacy in audience engagement through a distinct synthesis of visual allure, narrative development, and digital innovation, proving their adaptability and potential as a novel medium for digital content creation [25]. However, challenges persist in ensuring the credibility and ethical integrity of messages delivered by virtual KOLs, particularly concerning issues of transparency and potential manipulation.

Nevertheless, message effectiveness is not guaranteed. Audiences who perceive virtual KOLs as manipulative or inauthentic may resist persuasion, undermining the effectiveness of campaigns [47]. The success of virtual KOLs thus depends on balancing personalization with transparency and aligning strategies with audience expectations. This necessitates a nuanced approach to content creation and dissemination, ensuring that the perceived artificiality does not detract from the intended persuasive impact [48]. Therefore, understanding the psychological underpinnings of consumer perception towards AI-generated content is crucial for optimizing engagement and preventing adverse reactions. While the appeal of controllable virtual influencers is undeniable for brands, the ethical implications concerning transparency and potential consumer deception must be meticulously addressed [5].

As a result, virtual KOLs represent both an opportunity and a challenge in strategic communication. They can increase message effectiveness through personalization and consistency, but their artificial nature requires careful management of credibility and trust. Understanding this duality is essential for developing communication strategies that maximize persuasive potential while maintaining ethical responsibility [49]. The inherent complexities necessitate further exploration into the long-term effects of sustained exposure to AI-generated influence on consumer behavior and societal norms, especially regarding the potential erosion of discernment between authentic human interaction and synthetic communication [5]. This nuanced interplay between technological advancement and ethical considerations underpins the transformative potential and inherent risks associated with the proliferation of virtual key opinion leaders in contemporary communication landscapes.

### **3.4. Ethical, Social, and Future Implications**

The rise of virtual KOLs introduces complex ethical dilemmas concerning transparency, manipulation, and consumer rights. Audiences may be unaware that they are engaging with AI-generated entities, raising concerns about informed consent and the ethics of persuasion. Furthermore, the absence of standardized ethical guidelines for virtual influencers has led to debates regarding marketing ethics, primarily benefiting brands without clear advantages for consumers or the public interest [5]. Moreover, the potential for algorithmic bias in AI-generated content poses significant risks, as it can perpetuate or even amplify existing societal prejudices, leading to inequitable representation and potentially harmful stereotypes. This lack of oversight creates a precarious environment where brands can exploit consumer vulnerabilities without adequate accountability, potentially eroding public trust in digital media and advertising [13].

One major issue is the potential exploitation of emotional vulnerability. Virtual KOLs designed to appear empathetic may manipulate consumer emotions, particularly in younger demographics. This raises questions about the responsibilities of brands and designers in ensuring ethical communication practices [50]. Additionally, the pervasive nature of virtual influencers necessitates a critical examination of their long-term psychological impact on impressionable audiences, particularly concerning the formation of self-identity and body image [9]. The blurred lines between authentic human interaction and synthesized digital personas could lead to a desensitization towards genuine human connection, fostering an environment where emotional manipulation becomes normalized [13]. Furthermore, the sophisticated algorithms underpinning virtual KOLs can create highly persuasive, albeit manufactured, connections that blur the boundaries between genuine influence and algorithmic manipulation, raising profound questions about consumer autonomy.

Socially, virtual influencers challenge cultural perceptions of authenticity, identity, and human connection. While some audiences embrace virtual KOLs as innovative and entertaining, others view them as artificial constructs that dilute genuine human interaction. These contrasting perspectives illustrate the ongoing negotiation between technology and social norms [51]. The integration of virtual KOLs into mainstream media also prompts a re-evaluation of traditional celebrity culture and the very definition of influence in a digitally mediated world. This redefinition raises important questions about the societal implications of AI-driven persuasion, particularly how it might reshape established communication paradigms and consumer trust dynamics [27]. This necessitates a critical examination of the symbiotic relationship between technology adoption and societal adaptation, recognizing the potential for both disruptive innovation and unforeseen social consequences.

Looking ahead, the future of communication strategies will likely involve increased collaboration between human and virtual KOLs. Hybrid models may combine human authenticity with AI-driven consistency and scalability, creating new paradigms for influencer communication [52]. This evolution will demand sophisticated ethical frameworks to navigate the complexities of AI-mediated influence, ensuring transparency and safeguarding against potential misuse. This necessitates the development of robust regulatory frameworks and industry best practices to ensure responsible deployment and mitigate emerging risks [1]. This research aims to analyze the transformative impact of AI-generated influencers, or virtual key opinion leaders, on contemporary communication strategies [9][5].

Ultimately, the ethical and social implications of virtual KOLs demand critical reflection from scholars, practitioners, and policymakers. Addressing issues of transparency, accountability, and consumer protection will be crucial for shaping the future of communication strategies that integrate AI-generated influencers. By doing so, society can harness the benefits of this innovation while mitigating potential harms. This study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the burgeoning phenomenon of virtual key opinion leaders and their profound implications for contemporary communication strategies, bridging existing research gaps [53][54]. Specifically, this research will investigate how the unique characteristics of AI-generated influencers affect message credibility, audience engagement, and the perception of brand authenticity in various communication contexts.

### **3.5 Data-Driven Personalization and Audience Targeting**

Data-driven personalization has become a defining feature of digital communication, and virtual KOLs provide an unprecedented opportunity to integrate real-time analytics into influencer strategies. By leveraging AI algorithms, virtual influencers can customize content according to consumer preferences, browsing behavior, and interaction history, thereby maximizing message relevance. This level of hyper-personalization, while enhancing engagement, also raises significant concerns regarding data privacy and the potential for intrusive targeting [15].

This personalization allows brands to segment audiences with greater precision than traditional influencer campaigns. Instead of adopting a one-size-fits-all approach, AI-generated KOLs can deliver targeted messages that resonate with micro-segments of consumers, addressing their specific needs and desires [55]. This capability transforms conventional marketing by enabling highly individualized content dissemination, moving beyond broad demographic targeting to precise psychographic and behavioral segmentation. The advanced analytical capabilities of AI influencer marketing enable the identification of content creators whose followers align with specific target demographics, thereby optimizing message delivery and campaign efficacy [56]. This precision in targeting significantly enhances return on investment for brands by ensuring that marketing efforts reach the most receptive audiences, thereby minimizing wasted impressions and maximizing conversion rates [57]. This shift necessitates sophisticated data governance frameworks to ensure ethical data utilization and prevent manipulative targeting practices.

However, personalization carries inherent risks related to privacy and ethical boundaries. Collecting, analyzing, and deploying consumer data to enhance message effectiveness raises critical questions about consent and data protection. The extensive data harvesting practices of social media platforms, which capture vast amounts of user information, amplify these concerns, enabling highly granular targeting that can border on exploitative [58]. This raises the imperative for robust regulatory oversight and transparent data practices to safeguard consumer privacy in an era of pervasive AI-driven personalization [59].

Despite these risks, personalization remains a powerful tool for audience targeting. Research suggests that consumers respond more positively to influencers who provide tailored recommendations and content that align with their lifestyle or identity markers [60]. For virtual KOLs, the ability to analyze and adjust messaging in real time makes them even more effective in fulfilling this role. This allows for dynamic adaptation of communication strategies, enhancing the overall resonance and impact of influencer campaigns. Furthermore, generative AI facilitates the creation of bespoke marketing content at scale, enabling brands to move beyond demographic targeting toward truly individualized messaging that resonates with specific consumer needs, preferences, and contexts [61]. This enhanced personalization, driven by AI, enables brands to evoke stronger emotional responses and foster deeper connections with their audience, ultimately improving consumer loyalty [62]. While AI offers unparalleled opportunities for personalized marketing, concerns regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for job displacement necessitate careful consideration and ethical frameworks [63].

For example, advancements in AI-enabled personalization, particularly in customer service and strategic communication, hold promise for enhancing efficiency and engagement, though they also necessitate careful consideration of potential negative consumer reactions if privacy concerns are not adequately addressed [64]. This requires a delicate balance between leveraging AI for enhanced user experience and upholding robust ethical guidelines to maintain consumer trust and mitigate the risks associated with intrusive data practices [65][66]. Further, the integration of AI in digital marketing, despite its potential to innovate, introduces challenges such as data privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, high implementation costs, and the complexity of integrating AI with existing marketing systems [57]. The imperative for robust ethical frameworks and transparent AI practices is underscored by these concerns, ensuring that the deployment of virtual KOLs aligns with consumer protection and responsible innovation.

Data-driven personalization enhances the communicative potential of virtual KOLs while simultaneously intensifying ethical and regulatory debates. Future strategies must balance personalization with transparency and consumer autonomy, ensuring that targeted communication does not cross into manipulation or exploitation. This requires a nuanced understanding of the evolving digital landscape and a proactive approach to establishing guidelines that uphold consumer rights and maintain public trust in AI-driven communication strategies.

### **3.6 Cross-Cultural Communication and Global Market Adaptation**

Global brands increasingly rely on KOLs to navigate diverse cultural markets, and virtual influencers offer unique advantages in this domain. Unlike human influencers constrained by geography, language, or cultural identity, AI-generated KOLs can be adapted to resonate with multiple audiences across global contexts. This adaptability enables seamless localization of marketing messages, allowing brands to penetrate new markets with culturally relevant content without the logistical complexities associated with human talent. This includes the capacity for virtual KOLs to adopt diverse appearances, accents, and communication styles, which can be dynamically adjusted to align with specific regional preferences and cultural norms, thereby fostering greater relatability and impact [67]. Furthermore, their digital nature allows for rapid deployment and modification, providing an agile solution for brands seeking to execute globally consistent yet locally nuanced campaigns. This flexibility allows brands to overcome traditional barriers in cross-cultural communication, ensuring that marketing messages are not only accurately translated but also culturally resonant and contextually appropriate.

Virtual KOLs can embody different cultural aesthetics, linguistic styles, and value systems depending on the target market. For example, an AI influencer can be designed to align with collectivist norms in Asian markets while emphasizing individualism in Western contexts. This flexibility provides brands with a scalable and adaptive communication tool. This capability significantly reduces the effort and resources typically required for market-specific content creation, allowing for efficient global campaign deployment. Moreover, the consistent aesthetic and messaging offered by virtual KOLs ensure brand integrity across diverse regions, mitigating the risks of misinterpretation or dilution that can arise with human influencers operating in unfamiliar cultural terrains [29]. This strategic advantage facilitates the creation of highly localized content at scale, offering an unprecedented level of control over brand messaging in culturally sensitive environments.

Nevertheless, cross-cultural deployment of virtual influencers raises questions of authenticity and cultural appropriation. Audiences may perceive AI-generated KOLs as lacking genuine cultural knowledge or misrepresenting cultural values. Such missteps can undermine credibility and provoke negative backlash from local communities. Addressing these concerns necessitates rigorous cultural sensitivity training for AI developers and content creators, along with transparent disclosure of the AI-generated nature of these virtual entities to foster trust and authenticity with diverse audiences. Therefore, continuous monitoring and iterative refinement of AI models based on audience feedback are crucial for effective and ethical cross-cultural communication. The challenge lies in ensuring that these synthetic entities genuinely reflect cultural nuances and avoid superficial appropriation, necessitating advanced AI models capable of deep cultural understanding rather than mere replication of surface-level traits [68].

At the same time, successful adaptation of virtual KOLs can foster stronger global engagement. When AI influencers are localized effectively—through accurate language use, respect for cultural values, and sensitivity to social norms—they can function as powerful mediators of intercultural communication. This strategic application not only enhances brand appeal but also promotes a more inclusive and globally resonant marketing discourse [29]. This necessitates the development of sophisticated AI algorithms capable of discerning and adapting to complex cultural semantics, moving beyond superficial linguistic translations to capture the profound subtleties of human communication [67]. This advanced capability would enable virtual KOLs to navigate the intricate landscape of global communication with authenticity and respect, fostering genuine connections across diverse cultural contexts.

Therefore, the potential of virtual KOLs in cross-cultural communication lies in their adaptability and scalability, but their effectiveness depends on thoughtful design and ethical sensitivity. By ensuring cultural relevance without oversimplification or exploitation, virtual influencers can enhance brand presence in global markets while respecting cultural diversity. Moreover, addressing epistemic violence and algorithmic biases embedded in AI models is crucial to prevent the perpetuation of stereotypes and misrepresentation of non-Western cultures, as generic AI outputs often reflect perspectives skewed towards "WEIRD" societies [15][67]. This requires a concerted effort to diversify training datasets and integrate culturally specific knowledge into AI development, ensuring that virtual KOLs accurately and respectfully represent global cultural diversity rather than reinforcing existing biases. Addressing these limitations is critical for developing culturally aware AI systems that can effectively and respectfully engage with global users, particularly given the challenges in collecting or generating culturally rich training data for low-resource cultures [69][15]. This necessitates a paradigm shift in AI development towards inclusive design principles that prioritize cultural equity and mitigate algorithmic bias, ensuring that virtual KOLs contribute positively to cross-cultural understanding rather than exacerbating existing disparities [67][70].

#### **4. Conclusion**

This proactive approach can transform virtual KOLs into powerful tools for nuanced, globally inclusive communication, setting a new standard for ethical and effective digital marketing. This evolution requires ongoing interdisciplinary collaboration, integrating insights from anthropology, sociology, and linguistics into AI development to ensure that synthetic entities genuinely reflect the complexities of human cultural interaction. Such an approach would facilitate the creation of AI-driven influencers capable of authentic cross-cultural engagement, moving beyond superficial representation to foster genuine understanding and connection. The refinement of virtual KOLs through such a comprehensive lens promises to revolutionize international marketing by enabling brands to connect with diverse audiences on a deeper, more culturally resonant level. This profound impact extends beyond mere commercial success, fostering a more interconnected global society where cultural differences are celebrated and understood through innovative communication channels

#### **Acknowledgment**

The researcher wishes to extend profound appreciation to Universitas Ary Ginanjar for its generous financial support and institutional assistance throughout the course of this research. The provision of material resources, academic guidance, and an enabling environment for scholarly work has played a pivotal role in ensuring the successful completion of this study. Such support not only facilitated the smooth execution of the research process but also reflected the University's unwavering commitment to advancing knowledge, fostering innovation, and encouraging the academic growth of its researchers. The researcher is particularly grateful for the University's recognition of the importance of this study, which seeks to contribute to contemporary debates on artificial intelligence and communication strategies. Without the invaluable support and encouragement from Universitas

Ary Ginanjar, this work would not have been possible. The researcher sincerely acknowledges this contribution as a significant factor in the realization of the objectives and outcomes of this research.

## Reference

- [1] A. Diers-Lawson and S. Lawson, "Hallucination or vision? Establishing reliability and validity of AI-based qualitative analysis of the unified model of activism in the Scottish independence Twitter debate," *Corp. Commun. An Int. J.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ccij-08-2024-0139.
- [2] L. Huang, "Real but Fictional: A Research Agenda of Virtual Influencers for Brand Communications in Social Media Marketing," *J. Appl. Mark. Theory*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 73–94, 2023, doi: 10.20429/jamt.2023.100207.
- [3] N. S. Azzaky, A. Salimah, and C. R. Saputri, "Revolutionizing Business: The Role of AI in Driving Industry 4.0," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–37, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.24>.
- [4] G. Ilieva, T. Yankova, M. Ruseva, Y. Dzhubarova, S. Klisarova-Belcheva, and M. Bratkov, "Social Media Influencers: Customer Attitudes and Impact on Purchase Behaviour," *Information*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 359, 2024, doi: 10.3390/info15060359.
- [5] D.-G. Kim and Z. Wang, "The ethics of virtuality: navigating the complexities of human-like virtual influencers in the social media marketing realm," *Front. Commun.*, vol. 8, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2023.1205610.
- [6] O. Allal-Chérif, R. Puertas, and P. Carracedo, "Intelligent influencer marketing: how AI-powered virtual influencers outperform human influencers," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 200, p. 123113, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2023.123113.
- [7] S. Jayasingh, S. Arunkumar, and A. A. Vanathaiyan, "Artificial Intelligence Influencers' Credibility Effect on Consumer Engagement and Purchase Intention," *J. Theor. Appl. Electron. Commer. Res.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 17, 2025, doi: 10.3390/jtaer20010017.
- [8] S. Sands, C. Campbell, K. Plangger, and C. Ferraro, "Unreal influence: leveraging AI in influencer marketing," *Eur. J. Mark.*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 1721–1747, 2022, doi: 10.1108/ejm-12-2019-0949.
- [9] M. Gerlich, "The Power of Virtual Influencers: Impact on Consumer Behaviour and Attitudes in the Age of AI," *Adm. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 178, 2023, doi: 10.3390/admsci13080178.
- [10] G. L. C. Rizzo, J. Berger, and F. V. Ordenes, "What Drives Virtual Influencer's Impact?," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2023, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4329150.
- [11] R. Sebastian, N. N. Kottekkadan, T. K. Thomas, and M. N. KK, "Generative AI tools (ChatGPT\*) in social science research," *J. Inf. Commun. Ethics Soc.*, 2025, doi: 10.1108/jices-10-2024-0145.
- [12] B. K. Volles, J. Park, A. Van Kerckhove, and M. Geuens, "How and when do virtual influencers positively affect consumer responses to endorsed brands?," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 183, p. 114863, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114863.
- [13] K. Ooi *et al.*, "Unveiling the potential of generative artificial intelligence: a multidimensional journey into the future," *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/imds-10-2023-0703.
- [14] V. L. Thomas and K. Fowler, "Close Encounters of the AI Kind: Use of AI Influencers As Brand Endorsers," *J. Advert.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 11–25, 2020, doi: 10.1080/00913367.2020.1810595.
- [15] S. J. Bickley, H. F. Chan, 中島邦男, B. Torgler, T. C. Son, and A. Zimbatu, "Comparing human and synthetic data in service research: using augmented language models to study service failures and recoveries," *J. Serv. Mark.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/jsm-11-2023-0441.
- [16] S. Hemraj, "AI and the future of creative development: redefining digital media production," *AI Ethics*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s43681-025-00765-x.
- [17] L. Arango, S. Singaraju, and O. Niininen, "Consumer Responses to AI-Generated Charitable Giving Ads," *J. Advert.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 486–503, 2023, doi: 10.1080/00913367.2023.2183285.
- [18] A. V. Abdussalam and G. A. Auladi, "Pushing Boundaries: AI and Computer Science in the Era of Technological Revolution," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 01–09, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.22>.
- [19] J. D. Brüns and M. Meißner, "Do you create your content yourself? Using generative artificial intelligence for social media content creation diminishes perceived brand authenticity," *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, vol. 79, p. 103790, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103790.
- [20] A. Burke-Garcia and R. S. Hicks, "Scaling the Idea of Opinion Leadership to Address Health Misinformation: The Case for 'Health Communication AI,'" 2024, *Taylor & Francis*. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2024.2357575.
- [21] P. A. H. Aure and O. Cuenca, "Fostering social-emotional learning through human-centered use of generative AI in business research education: an insider case study," *J. Res. Innov. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 168–181, 2024, doi: 10.1108/jrit-03-2024-0076.
- [22] A. S. Gupta and J. Mukherjee, "Framework for adoption of generative AI for information search of retail products and services," *Int. J. Retail Distrib. Manag.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ijrdm-05-2024-0203.
- [23] M. Ou, H. Zheng, Y. Zeng, and P. Hansen, "Trust it or not: Understanding users' motivations and strategies for assessing the credibility of AI-generated information," *New Media Soc.*, 2024, doi: 10.1177/14614448241293154.
- [24] B. H. Schmitt, "Transforming qualitative research in phygital settings: the role of generative AI," *Qual. Mark. Res. An Int. J.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 523–526, 2023, doi: 10.1108/qmr-08-2023-0107.

- [25] A. Dondapati and R. K. Dehury, "Virtual vs. Human influencers: The battle for consumer hearts and minds," *Comput. Hum. Behav. Artif. Humans*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 100059, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.chbah.2024.100059.
- [26] B. Köles, A. Audrezet, J. G. Moulard, N. Ameen, and B. McKenna, "The authentic virtual influencer: Authenticity manifestations in the metaverse," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 170, p. 114325, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114325.
- [27] M. Salvagno *et al.*, "The state of artificial intelligence in medical research: A survey of corresponding authors from top medical journals," *PLoS One*, vol. 19, no. 8, 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0309208.
- [28] Ž. Cvejić, A. Filipović, and A. E. Петров, "The Crisis in the Humanities: Transdisciplinary Solutions," 2016, [Online]. Available: <https://philpapers.org/rec/AUMTCI>
- [29] M. L. Isa, N. H. Kamarulzaman, H. Hashim, and S. A. H. Lim, "Examining the Influence of Brand Recognition on the Intention to Repurchase Halal Store Brand Products: A Review," 2024. doi: 10.6007/ijarbs/v14-i1/20226.
- [30] A. N. I. Purwanto and A. A. Hanif, "Strategic Synergy: Integrating Business Management with Computer Science for Competitive Advantage," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–18, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.23>.
- [31] M. Savastano, I. Biclesanu, S. Anagnoste, F. Laviola, and N. Cucari, "Enterprise chatbots in managers' perception: a strategic framework to implement successful chatbot applications for business decisions," *Manag. Decis.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/md-10-2023-1967.
- [32] K. Atsalaki and I. Kazanidis, "Combining Virtual Reality Visual Novels and Social Stories to Support Social and Emotional Development in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 12, p. 6584, 2025, doi: 10.3390/app15126584.
- [33] J. G. Borger *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence takes center stage: exploring the capabilities and implications of ChatGPT and other AI-assisted technologies in scientific research and education," *Immunol. Cell Biol.*, vol. 101, no. 10, pp. 923–935, 2023, doi: 10.1111/imcb.12689.
- [34] R. C. King-O'Riain, "'They were having so much fun, so genuinely . . .': K-pop fan online affect and corroborated authenticity," *New Media Soc.*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 2820–2838, 2020, doi: 10.1177/1461444820941194.
- [35] L. Zhui, F. Li, X. Wang, Q. Fu, and R. Wei, "Ethical Considerations and Fundamental Principles of Large Language Models in Medical Education: Viewpoint," *J. Med. Internet Res.*, vol. 26, 2024, doi: 10.2196/60083.
- [36] N. Ju, T. H. Kim, and H. Im, "Fake human but real influencer: the interplay of authenticity and humanlikeness in Virtual Influencer communication?," *Fash. Text.*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40691-024-00380-0.
- [37] S. N. A. Hamid, W. J. W. Jusoh, and S. Maulan, "The Influence of Spiritual Brand Attributes Towards the Corporate Brand Image of Islamic Banking Institutions in Malaysia," *J. Pengur.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2021, doi: 10.17576/pengurusan-2021-61-03.
- [38] Y. Zhang, D. Zhao, J. T. Hancock, R. Kraut, and D. Yang, "The Rise of AI Companions: How Human-Chatbot Relationships Influence Well-Being," 2025, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2506.12605.
- [39] E. Weber-Guskar, "How to feel about emotionalized artificial intelligence? When robot pets, holograms, and chatbots become affective partners," *Ethics Inf. Technol.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 601–610, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10676-021-09598-8.
- [40] A. Stornaiuolo, J. Higgs, O. Jawale, and R. M. Martin, "Digital writing with AI platforms: the role of fun with/in generative AI," *English Teach. Pract. Crit.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 83–103, 2024, doi: 10.1108/etpc-08-2023-0103.
- [41] S. S. Nehra and S. Y. Bansode, "Exploring the Prospects and Perils of Integrating Artificial Intelligence and ChatGPT in Academic and Research Libraries (ARL): Challenges and Opportunity," *J. Web Librariansh.*, pp. 1–22, 2024, doi: 10.1080/19322909.2024.2390413.
- [42] M. Andersson, "Companionship in code: AI's role in the future of human connection," *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-05536-x.
- [43] J. Wu, "Social and ethical impact of emotional AI advancement: the rise of pseudo-intimacy relationships and challenges in human interactions," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 15, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1410462.
- [44] S. N. A. Hamid and W. J. W. Jusoh, "Corporate image of zakat institutions in Malaysia," *Malaysian J. Soc. Sp.*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2017, [Online]. Available: <http://www.ukm.my/geografia/images/upload/5x.geografia-si-feb16-siti-edam.pdf>
- [45] M. Battour, K. Mady, M. Salaheldeen, R. T. Ratnasari, R. Sallem, and S. H. N. AL-Sinawi, "Halal tourism and ChatGPT: an overview of current trends and future research directions," *J. Islam. Mark.*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 3464–3483, 2024, doi: 10.1108/jima-11-2023-0379.
- [46] D. VIORENTINA and S. SANTOSO, "Influence of Brand Image, Product Quality, and Lifestyle on Smartphone Purchase Decision in Indonesia," 2023.
- [47] A. Rochim, F. A. Julian, and A. Maulidizen, "Exploring the Ethical Dimensions of Halal Supply Chain Management with AI," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 83–94, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.53>.
- [48] A. N. I. Purwanto, M. Fauzan, T. Widya, and N. S. Azzaky, "Ethical Implications and Challenges of AI Implementation in Business Operations," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 68–82, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.52>.
- [49] Elbadiansyah, Z. H. Sain, U. S. Lawal, C. C. Thelma, and A. L. Aziz, "Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Student Motivation and Cognitive Development in Higher Education," *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp.

- 59–67, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.47>.
- [50] G. A. Auladi and F. Muwahid, “Cybersecurity and Moral Responsibility: A Philosophical-Islamic Approach to Digital Trust,” *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 53–65, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.93>.
- [51] J. R. Prasanto, A. Ma’ruf, M. F. Assidiq, and M. F. Diyaulhaq, “Managing Digital Economic Corridors: International Cooperation and Regional Integration in the Digital Age,” *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–52, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.63>.
- [52] A. Setiawan, A. Mufti, F. A. Mau, A. Purkoni, and A. Setiawan, “Bridging Cybersecurity and Enterprise Risk Management in the Digital Era,” *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.66>.
- [53] R. Bansal, A. H. Ngah, M. Sahabuddin, M. I. Tabash, and A. Hamadi, “Virtual Influencers in Marketing: A Bibliometric Analysis of Current and Future Research Trends,” *J. Logist. Informatics Serv. Sci.*, 2024, doi: 10.33168/jliss.2024.0809.
- [54] H. Lee, M. Shin, J. Yang, and T. M. Chock, “Virtual Influencers vs. Human Influencers in the Context of Influencer Marketing: The Moderating Role of Machine Heuristic on Perceived Authenticity of Influencers,” *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, pp. 1–18, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2024.2374100.
- [55] M. A. Mondol, M. A. Uddaula, M. S. Hossain, and M. A. Siddika, “AI-Powered Frameworks for the Detection and Prevention of Cyberbullying Across Social Media Ecosystems,” *TechComp Innov. J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.59>.
- [56] H. Beyari and T. N. Hashem, “The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Personalizing Social Media Marketing Strategies for Enhanced Customer Experience,” *Behav. Sci. (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 5, p. 700, 2025, doi: 10.3390/bs15050700.
- [57] G. Wilson, O. Johnson, and W. L. Brown, “The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Digital Marketing Strategies,” 2024, doi: 10.20944/preprints202408.0276.v1.
- [58] M.-S. Pang and A. Chair, “Three Essays on The Societal Impacts of and Regulatory Implications for Digital Platforms,” 2023.
- [59] T. Teepapal, “AI-Driven Personalization: Unraveling Consumer Perceptions in Social Media Engagement,” *Comput. Human Behav.*, p. 108549, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2024.108549.
- [60] R. Sajja, Y. Sermet, and İ. Demir, “End-to-End Deployment of the Educational AI Hub for Personalized Learning and Engagement: A Case Study on Environmental Science Education,” *EarthArXiv (California Digit. Libr.)*, 2024, doi: 10.31223/x5xm7n.
- [61] V. Kujore, A. Adebayo, O. Sambakui, and B. S. Segbenu, “Transformative role of generative AI in marketing content creation and brand engagement strategies,” *GSC Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1–11, 2025, doi: 10.30574/gscarr.2025.23.3.0145.
- [62] M. S. Bhuiyan, “The Role of AI-Enhanced Personalization in Customer Experiences,” *J. Comput. Sci. Technol. Stud.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 162–169, 2024, doi: 10.32996/jcsts.2024.6.1.17.
- [63] M. Moharrak and E. Mogaji, “Generative AI in banking: empirical insights on integration, challenges and opportunities in a regulated industry,” *Int. J. Bank Mark.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ijbm-08-2024-0490.
- [64] S. Binlibdah, “Investigating the Role of Artificial Intelligence to Measure Consumer Efficiency: The Use of Strategic Communication and Personalized Media Content,” *Journal. Media*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1142–1161, 2024, doi: 10.3390/journalmedia5030073.
- [65] H. Hari, A. Sharma, S. Verma, and R. Chaturvedi, “Exploring Ethical Frontiers of Artificial Intelligence in Marketing,” *J. Responsible Technol.*, p. 100103, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jrt.2024.100103.
- [66] E. Hermann, “Artificial intelligence and mass personalization of communication content—An ethical and literacy perspective,” *New Media Soc.*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1258–1277, 2021, doi: 10.1177/14614448211022702.
- [67] B. Mbalaka, “Epistemically violent biases in artificial intelligence design: the case of DALLE-E 2 and Starry AI,” *Digit. Transform. Soc.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 376–402, 2023, doi: 10.1108/dts-01-2023-0003.
- [68] I. Villanueva, T. Bobinac, B. Yao, J. Hu, and K. Chen, “AI as a deliberative partner fosters intercultural empathy for Americans but fails for Latin American participants,” 2025, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2504.13887.
- [69] S. Liu *et al.*, “CultureVLM: Characterizing and Improving Cultural Understanding of Vision-Language Models for over 100 Countries,” *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2025, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2501.01282.
- [70] V. Prabhakaran, R. Qadri, and B. Hutchinson, “Cultural Incongruencies in Artificial Intelligence,” *arXiv (Cornell Univ.)*, 2022, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2211.13069.