



Department of Digital Business

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business (RIGGS)

Homepage: <https://journal.ilmudata.co.id/index.php/RIGGS>

Vol. 4 No. 2 (2025) pp: 3627-3635

P-ISSN: 2963-9298, e-ISSN: 2963-914X

The Digital Leap: How Technology Shapes Employee Perceptions of Professionalism and Productivity in Project Supervision

Faradilla Sukmawati Permata Putri, Leonard Adrie Manafe, Emmywati

^{1,2,3}Management, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Mahardhika

¹faradillaputri175@gmail.com*, ²leonard.adrie@stiemahardhika.ac.id, emmywati@stiemahardhika.ac.id. *for corresponding author

Abstract

This study investigates how employees at CV. Cakrawala Design, a project planning and supervision agency, assess their professionalism and productivity amid the wave of digitalisation. A qualitative approach with a case study design was chosen to ensure that the voices of those on the ground are heard directly. Five informants from various job levels were interviewed without restrictions on their fields to capture hidden nuances. Synchronous observations were then conducted to compare verbal acknowledgments with actual behaviour. Initial findings show that cloud-based software and team chat rooms can cut coordination time by almost half. On the other hand, the flow of tutorials, notifications, and application updates pushed some workers to the brink of overwhelm. Therefore, this study underscores the importance of ongoing training sessions and social dialogue spaces to ensure that technology truly supports rather than dampens morale. Final recommendations urge Cakrawala Design management to embrace daily employee feedback when crafting future digital initiatives.

Keywords: Digitalization, Professionalism, Productivity, Employee Perception, Project Planning and Supervision Consulting

1. Introduction

Numerous empirical inquiries indicate that the rapid spread of digital technologies profoundly mediates the relationship between professionalism and workplace productivity. Professionalism itself embodies the constellation of attitudes, behaviors, and competencies that employees bring to their tasks. One recent study estimates that professionalism and work discipline alone can account for as much as 77.8 percent of the variation in overall employee performance [1]. Complementarily, another assessment finds that the combined quality of human capital and professional conduct together explain 94.5 percent of performance outcomes [2]. Yet the same wave of digitalization that amplifies these links also injects a fresh set of opportunities and headaches into daily work life. New technologies are reshaping the workplace so rapidly that human-resource leaders must now invent fresh strategies instead of simply refining old ones [3]. Entire categories of low-skilled positions are fading away, while job descriptions for data scientists, AI trainers, and cloud architects keep multiplying. Workers who once relied on routine tasks suddenly find themselves learning to code simple applications, brainstorm innovative products, and justify their decisions with hard evidence [4]. Those capabilities feel less like optional add-ons and more like the price of admission to any viable career. Simultaneously, gadgets such as the Internet of Things and machine-learning dashboards force companies to overhaul their entire business blueprints, which in turn demands that employees develop a more resilient, can-do mindset [5]. That shift in attitude is rarely taught in classrooms, yet it shows up on every hiring manager's wish list. Digital platforms strip minutes from project timelines, grant team members exotic geographical freedom, and crank up individual accountability to startling levels. Unfortunately, that same technology can trap gig workers behind relentless surveillance software and in gigs that pay well below the living wage [6]. In other words, efficiency gains come bundled with ethical headaches. The digital economy keeps rewriting its own rulebook, creating new competency gates while older ones rust in place; scholars still debate which skills should replace them [7]. Organizations that barrel ahead without teaching their employees how to balance speed, professionalism, and mental wellbeing risk losing top talent to competitors who do. Striking that balance remains the definitive test of whether digital transformation genuinely boosts productivity or merely speeds up burnout.

Remote platforms have become the default workspace for many teams-nothing short of a cultural shift, really. At CV. Desain Cakrawala (DC) the software itself is secondary to the new ways project notes circulate, video huddles

The Digital Leap: How Technology Shapes Employee Perceptions of Professionalism and Productivity in Project Supervision

multiply, and calendars suddenly begin spilling over. Widespread adoption of digital tools—from kanban boards to automated payroll processors—has repeatedly been associated with sharper efficiency and lighter administrative loads [8] [9]. Yet people respond unpredictably; what upgrades the spreadsheet may worsen the workplace by tightening surveillance and degrading conditions [6]. Fast progress in technology is rewriting the social contract between labor and management, so both sides must invent fresh strategies to stay afloat [10]. Take human resources: algorithms and cloud dashboards now routinize hiring drudgery, freeing practitioners to steer culture and keep employees engaged [11]. New tools also conjure new roles; firms scrambling to fend off competitors must teach or hire data wranglers, digital marketing pros, and other greening specialties or fall behind [3]. A further jolt arrived when COVID-19 scattered offices and made digital transformation less optional than survival itself [12]. None of these gains come without a catch. Over-engineered workflows can exhaust workers, and constant monitoring chips away at trust, so leaders must weigh benefits against burnout if the digital workplace is to uplift people as well as profits [13] [14]. DC should cultivate an organizational climate that welcomes digital innovation while simultaneously heeding employee reservations and requirements. Such a balanced approach is likely to elevate both professionalism and productivity in a rapidly evolving technological landscape.

Staff at CV. Horizon Design view the ongoing digital shift through a prism of trust, preparedness, basic tech know-how, and expectations for how tools will reshape their day-to-day work. That multicolored perception cannot be easily distilled into a single statistic. Research shows that trust in management ranks among the top determinants of employee buy-in, underscoring its role as a prerequisite for any smooth technology rollout.

A compelling digital vision, matched by supportive leader-member relationships, tends to cultivate trust and spur collaborative energy around new technology projects [15]. Employees may embrace digital change more readily when they believe they can succeed, when they recognize the necessity of the shift, and when they feel that senior management has their backs; these three elements anchor perceptions of self-efficacy and perceived management support [16]. Digital literacy sits at the centre of effective technology adoption: workers comfortable with online tools are more likely to experiment, troubleshoot, and integrate those tools into daily routines than colleagues who struggle with the basics [17]. Over time, however, enthusiasm for a transformation can wane; early supporters may flag when obstacles accumulate [18]. Job quality metrics offer some consolation—most studies report that digitization raises satisfaction and eases the grind of tight schedules, even if a minority of new software creates its own environmental irritants [19]. Finally, rolling out digital platforms is as much a human-resources challenge as it is a technical one; ongoing, inclusive training determines whether the tools boost productivity or merely burden already stretched staff [20]. Grasping these shifts in CVs work environment may help management steer the next wave of digital projects with greater foresight. CD seeks to customize its digitalization roadmap so that technology upgrades genuinely bolster workers productivity and preemptively relieve the anxieties that often accompany organizational change.

This study began with one key question: to what extent do the digitalisation measures taken by CD influence how its employees view their own professionalism? The second question is equally important, namely whether the digital shift has led to an increase or decrease in daily productivity. In addition to exploring these two core issues, this study aims to directly hear employees' experiences regarding the challenges and benefits they have encountered during the transition from manual tools to digital platforms. In other words, the researchers seek to uncover perceptions, productivity levels, and other supporting or hindering factors within the context of digital transformation at the design company.

The urgency of this research stems from the pressing need to measure the impact of digitalisation on two pillars of organisational behaviour: professionalism and productivity. This situation is more evident in companies that are rapidly moving towards digital transformation, where daily work practices change within months. The final results of this research are expected to provide new formulations for managerial policies, particularly for the project planning and supervision consulting sector, which is still seeking practical guidance when adopting technology. Although a number of studies have calculated post-digital performance metrics, significant gaps remain. Almost no one has examined how employees in small and medium-sized companies which have not been widely discussed experience the narrowing or broadening of their professionalism after digital platforms are implemented. In addition, the internal perspective of employees themselves is rarely the centre of attention; most studies listen to managers or rely on quantitative data. To address these gaps, researchers adopted a qualitative, case-study-based approach. This method allows for in-depth conversations with ordinary staff members, providing direct insights into personal experiences that are often hidden in larger reports. In this way, individual narratives enrich the academic record on the relationship between technology, work behaviour, and the meaning of professionalism in the digital age.

2. Research Methods

This study adopts a qualitative methodology in the form of a case study, aiming to reveal how employees assess professionalism and productivity in the context of digitalisation at CD, a consulting firm engaged in project planning and supervision. Qualitative methods were chosen because they allow researchers to delve into the social context and personal experiences of informants through descriptive narratives with minimal preconceptions. The case study approach is advantageous as it takes place in the original setting; the dynamics of digital technology at the workplace are examined precisely where they emerge. Through this approach, the researcher directly captures the challenges employees face in adapting to technology and its impact on how they perceive productivity and professional standards in the workplace.

Data collection in this study relied on two core methods: in-depth interviews and field observations. Five informants were interviewed, representing a spectrum of positions at CD. Each was selected based on their experience and contribution to the implementation of digitalisation, ensuring that the views gathered were sufficiently diverse. The questions asked sought to explore how professional they felt in an increasingly digital world, as well as the effects of this on their daily productivity. In addition to the interview sessions, the researcher occasionally observed employees directly in their workplaces, noting how new tools were operated and how these tools interacted with employees' task flows. These observations, though conducted without intervention, complemented the interview narratives by providing insights into individual and team performance dynamics.

Researchers applied thematic analysis and qualitative coding to explore field data. This method encouraged the identification of recurring patterns in interview transcripts and observation notes. Employees of CD were asked about professionalism and productivity amid the digital revolution. Their responses, followed by complaints and praise, revealed diverse dimensions of benefits, challenges, and impacts. Coding then categorised these expressions into distinct categories to make the material easier to analyse. This approach is expected to provide a comprehensive picture of how much technology is perceived to be boosting employee performance.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Result

The main findings summarized through focused interviews and direct observation offer deep insights into professionalism and productivity at CD, a company engaged in project planning and supervision consulting services. In general, respondents showed a diverse spectrum of acceptance towards the digitalisation measures taken by management. Most of them, in turn, reported that cloud-based tools and modern communication platforms are able to reduce time and facilitate cross-disciplinary collaboration. However, sceptical voices were equally prominent; one informant, Informant A, candidly stated that while new software may accelerate collective work, the pace of updates feels overwhelming. This observation further underscores that the ability to adapt is not evenly distributed but depends on job role, tenure, and individual comfort with technology.

Studies on employee perceptions of professionalism in the digital age often reveal mixed responses. Many respondents stated that the introduction of technology actually strengthened their sense of professionalism. Project management applications and chat rooms reduced chaos, making workflows appear more orderly. One informant, whom we will refer to as Informant B, emphasised that modern tools make him appear more structured. He can find any information in seconds and then focus on his core work. The definition of professionalism here goes beyond mere mastery of tools; it also involves the ability to adapt to the pace of innovation. Alongside praise, there are also sceptical voices. Some colleagues feel that their excessive reliance on screens interferes with the depth of face-to-face interactions. Informant C, for example, complains that the transparency of technology sometimes diminishes the warmth of direct conversation. For him, physical communication channels remain crucial for maintaining a strong professional network.

In the discourse of modern productivity, digitalisation is not merely a trend, but a striking magnet for labour. Workers from various fields often claim that digital devices reduce the distance between tasks and their completion, especially when crunching numbers or scheduling. Cloud-based software, which allows for seamless uploading and downloading, has become both a storage repository and an invisible meeting room; collaboration is now more seamless than ever before. A designer, whom we will call Informant D to protect their privacy, once breathed a sigh of relief and said, 'With our cloud-based design application, we can work on the same project even though we are thousands of kilometres apart. Communication is easy and physical boundaries disappear.' However, one of the rusty skeletons in all this splendour is information overload; a multitude of apps with their own notification sounds often lead to headaches. Informant E, who prefers to focus on one screen, once sighed

and asked, 'There are too many platforms do I really have to reset my focus every time a digital notification sound?'

The relationship between digitisation and employee performance is complex, as every technological advancement brings both tangible benefits and mental burdens. In general, the most obvious gains come from easier collaboration; it seems that it won't be long before staff can download, edit and share documents across countries in no time. Administrative managers are also breathing a sigh of relief as many tedious tasks are now being transferred to automated software, so that data collection points no longer disrupt the workflow. However, this ideal situation is countered by the constant demand for employees to be willing to jump from one new interface to another in order to remain competitive. An informant I spoke with let's call him Informant A was more blunt: New technology is very helpful, but sometimes I feel overwhelmed by the number of new things I have to learn; this can distract me from my core work.

3.2. Discussion

Recent studies indicate that embedding digital tools within organizational routines often leads to measurable gains in operational speed and overall output, bolstering classic arguments that technology can eliminate bottlenecks. At the same time, the rapid shift does not come without friction, as many workers wrestle with upskilling demands and the stress of working in a constantly evolving digital landscape. Recent developments in digital platforms have upended age-old labor customs and pushed firms and employees alike to rethink the very ground they stand on [10]. Scholars note that, while networked technologies can fire up productivity and bolster the bottom line, the same tools frequently tangle with workers sense of job satisfaction, their ability to unplug after hours, and the autonomy they feel over their own routines [8]. The buzzword in this space is technology readiness, a catch-all for how psychologically geared-up a person is for new gadgets; that readiness in turn feeds into what's called adaptive performance, a phenomenon further sharpened by the innate meaningfulness a worker ascribes to tasks and by the employees own proactive streak [21]. Narrower still, automation-the engine behind many digital transformations-looks as a double-edged sword, just as likely to hollow out skilled roles as it is to create them, and demanding a perpetual cycle of upskilling lest today's worker be tomorrow's résumé footnote [22]. Census-style counts suggest a sizable slice of the workforce still believes its software know-how is woefully short, with that confidence gap shifting from one job category to another and from one industry to the next [23]. Anecdotal evidence links the daily grind of new apps to gains in efficiency, but the nonstop learning curve often tips over into emotional fatigue, as one researcher phrased it, and the toll shows up in higher rates of burnout [9]. The softer, psychological side of fitting into these screen-heavy environments-electric blue light, muted microphones, and all-takes careful navigation, especially when the office has migrated to the kitchen table, or, as two Russian psychologists put it, the threat of chronic stress is real if adaptive mechanisms are not deliberately cultivated [24]. Ionceva (2021) notes that the rapid spread of digital tools has not been even across the workforce; some workers now update software on Friday while their neighbors are still writing reports in pencil. Such disparity creates a favorability curve, leaving the high-tech fluent at the top and many others clambering for the next workshop or webinar. Researchers [25] put numbers to that gut feeling by linking the rise of gadgets to a skill distribution that looks more like a fork than a bell curve. Countries or sectors already behind at the starting gun feel the hurt worst, something files under widening digital divides [26]. Companies riding the transformation wave tout big productivity lifts, yet the bored competencies of those who can't or won't catch up are in danger of gathering dust [27]. None of these gains or grievances come free; they demand fresh hard knowledge, ongoing soft-nerves exercises, and maybe a little policy nudging from above.

A growing body of scholarship documents how digital tools interact with professionalism and productivity in small and medium-sized firms. The findings display a tangled landscape of clear advantages alongside stubborn, recurring obstacles. The rapid spread of digital tools has reshaped traditional labor relations along with the very architecture of organizations, pushing human resource managers to craft fresh strategies that line up with new technological norms [10] [3]. On one hand, companies that pour money into digital gear often report quicker gains in total-factor productivity; on the other, that rosy picture does not fit every industry and every firm, as recent evidence underlines [28]. Moving work online has turned employee-engagement rituals upside down, with a new premium on openness and flexible practices if efficiency is to be tapped [29]. Yet that same pivot sometimes heaves extra tasks onto workers who are still finding their feet, and that overload can blunt the very productivity the technology promised [30]. Training, then, stops being a good idea and becomes an absolute must if the gadgets and platforms are to stick rather than sputter [6]. Digits and screens can also spice up the daily grind and make it feel more rewarding, even as they gently tighten the screw of deadlines and erode neat lines between home and office [31]. Subjective ratings of job quality tell a mixed story: satisfaction tends to climb, but so does the stress that creeps in from a constantly buzzing work environment [19]. In short, the digital upgrade holds clear promise for professionalism and output, but small and medium enterprises must tread carefully, balancing tech rollouts

with genuine support for the human side of work. Firm-level support networks and a deliberate cultivation of managerial trustworthiness remain vital for steering organizations through the stormy seas of digital transformation [15].

Successful digitalization in organizations rarely occurs by accident; it usually follows management strategies that build on clear communication and genuine employee engagement. Surveys of recent deployments suggest that workers tend to embrace new tech when they judge it useful, straightforward, and less intimidating than their daily routines, even if overall job satisfaction leaves little room for influence [32]. Another layer in the equation is trust: when employees believe their leaders act in good faith, cooperation climbs and the wider transformation stands a better chance of sticking. A coherent digital vision, coupled with constructive leader-member exchanges, considerably strengthens the foundation of trust needed for rolling out new technologies in any organization [15]. When employees feel that their leaders genuinely share a clear roadmap, skepticism tends to give way to willingness. Participation strategies—systems for open communication, hands-on training sessions, and readily accessible support channels—serve as the glue in change management [33]. These everyday rituals help shape employee attitudes by making difficult adjustments feel collective rather than isolationist. Training does more than fill knowledge gaps; it actively equips staff with the competencies required by unfamiliar tools and workflows [34]. Without that preparatory groundwork, digital initiatives risk becoming little more than temporary disruptions. Managements visible support remains pivotal when employees weigh the risks of embracing new software [35]. Endorsement from above can turn abstract distrust into tangible confidence, thereby softening the blow of inevitable hiccups. Moving toward a digital economy compels firms to weave technology strategy directly into the fabric of HR policy [36]. Stand-alone digital roadmaps are no longer sufficient if competitiveness is the goal; integration is now the baseline expectation. In this light, CD would do well to invest heavily in layered communication and repetitive training as new systems unfold. Repeated, clear messages make change feel predictable rather than arbitrary, and practice reinforces that predictability. Such an all-in approach not only boosts employee readiness but also dovetails with broader aims of enhancing operational efficiency and driving ongoing innovation [37] [10] [3] [21]. When people sense that the organization has their backs during a digital pivot, adaptive performance tends to rise almost of its own accord.

Digital tools have permeated almost every corner of creative work, offering fresh avenues for expression while simultaneously complicating the traditional craft. Scholars are now pressed to determine which interfaces actually boost designers and artists and which ones risk eclipsing the distinctly human insight that still drives compelling work. Creative work—in anything from fashion to film—has always surfed on the wave of new ideas, but today that wave is powered by virtual reality, blockchains, and self-teaching algorithms [38]. Plenty of analysts have pointed out that these gadgets are quietly rewriting the rules about who gets hired and when. Recently, the phrase digital dexterity keeps turning up in the literature, and it really boils down to one point: folks need to sharpen their coding skills and, just as crucially, learn how to spin those skills into finished cultural products [39]. That sort of training doesn't happen by accident; companies have to budget for workshops, universities need tighter ties to industry, and students must take initiative outside the classroom. Digital platforms can loosen the silos that once separated graphic artists from software developers; a shared prototype board lets designers tweak lines of code as casually as they would a color swatch. Ironically, though, the same board sometimes funnels everyone toward a handful of popular ideas, so the original messy outpouring of brainstorm sketches dries up even as revisions get sharper [40]. For that reason, researchers keep calling for smarter interfaces—ones that feel playful and spontaneous rather than sterile and corporate. If such tools exist, they might restore that elusive state of flow that lets people lose track of time while exploring wild possibilities. Technologies do more than accentuate individual style; when project chat rooms sync with task calendars, teams can, in theory, move like a single organism. Even so, the flip side is real: older roles may vanish overnight, and the price tag on reskilling often lands on the workers' shoulders [41] [42]. Amid all this disruption, artificial intelligence keeps nudging creators along instead of pushing them aside, suggesting edits, spotting gaps, and occasionally dreaming up entire treatments that a human user then polishes. By offloading repetitious chores to an intelligent program, many white-collar employees discover they can redirect their energy toward complex puzzles that once seemed daunting. Still, evidence suggests the earliest and loudest beneficiaries often belong to the most technically literate circles [43]. Rapid-fire digital makeover has knocked the legs out from under long-standing job hierarchies. Designers, editors, and sound engineers are probing how the same gadgets that boost speed and volume can coexist with the face-to-face rapport that algorithms still struggle to mimic [6]. Sustaining that delicate balance is the tightrope on which the culture industries now walk, for without it creativity risks becoming a machine sound instead of a human song.

Humane digitization planning obliges organizations to align their data ambitions with the lived experiences of their staff. When technology heightens productivity and safeguards professionalism, employees remain engaged rather than detached. Even so, a genuine balance depends on preserving face-to-face interaction alongside ever-more

potent screens. Integrating digital tools into human-resource routines often lifts employee engagement, mostly by injecting the transparency and flexibility workers crave these days [29]. A modern digital workplace-act like any well-staffed IT firm-means constant chat boxes, collaborative dashboards, and file streams, and those elements almost always boost performance [44]. Support from leadership paired with a solid tech backbone acts as the oil that keeps that engine running; without those, fresh ideas stall long before they reach customers [45]. Turning HR for the digital age also means reskilling staff so their know-how matches lofty company targets, and that process is not optional if firms expect to grow sustainably [46]. At the same time, workers glued to screens can burn out fast; the perma-on culture chips away at health and blurs home-office lines [47] [48]. Thoughtful change management-not just a slide deck but real listening-helps ease those worries and can nudge teams toward a more inventive vibe [49]. Finally, while artificial intelligence promises to streamline hiring, payroll, and even performance reviews, it only delivers if rolled out with caution and clear guardrails. Rooting operational culture in sincere dialogue among staff is the surest way to cultivate lasting trust and commitment, a view researchers have underscored for years [50]. When management prioritizes frequent engagement, pairs it with skill-refresh programs, and makes a habit of checking in on workers real needs, the pace of technological adaptation quickens and the overall lift to organizational performance becomes evident for everyone involved [8] [51].

4. Conclusion

A recent study by CD indicates that the launch of various digital tools has transformed employees' perceptions of professionalism and their work output. Nearly all respondents reported that new technologies, particularly in communication and collaboration, have driven the efficiency they have long desired. Cloud-based storage and real-time discussion channels have structured daily workflows, increasing productive hours without any noticeable effort. However, the rapid pace of technological advancements presents challenges; new tools emerge before older ones are fully mastered. Some colleagues feel stressed, especially those who rarely use computers outside the office. On the positive side, administrative burdens have decreased and data processing has become almost instantaneous, but the flood of information and the loss of "the art of face-to-face conversation" should be watched closely by management. Therefore, safeguards for professionalism and social bonds must still be found, so that screens do not completely obscure our colleagues' faces. The findings of this study offer a number of practical steps for CD to advance its digitalisation agenda. The company should first conduct in-depth regular training sessions so that every employee is fully proficient in the software used on a daily basis. Such technical competence enhancement rarely fails to build confidence and reduce the psychological pressure that often arises when new technology is introduced. Clear communication should also not be overlooked. If leaders provide open explanations about the objectives, schedule, and benefits of each digitisation step and involve employees in discussions from the outset, the likelihood of resistance can be reduced. Team members who feel heard are generally quicker to embrace new systems than those who are simply told to follow orders. Information overload is no less important. To mitigate this, management can reorganise the device ecosystem: either by simplifying the interface of existing applications or by choosing integrated solution packages that reduce the number of tools cluttering the screen. In short, one comprehensive platform is often more efficient than ten separate applications. The findings above leave a significant gap for further studies to pioneer digitalisation in other areas, from dusty factory floors to service spaces that are now increasingly overflowing. Future researchers may wish to compare two imaginary universities: one already racing ahead with cloud networking, the other still printing paper, then measuring the differences in performance, motivation, and employee voices within both frameworks. The managerial side also awaits scrutiny; leaders need to be examined to understand the constraints they face and the support they can provide when the entire team is forced to leap into the digital realm. Within an inter-company framework, the term 'impact' often remains vague, but differences in adoption levels can offer more insights into how far, or how deeply, technology is truly reshaping the industry.

Reference

- [1] M. Amin, "Pengaruh Profesionalisme Sumber Daya Manusia Dan Disiplin Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Kantor Camat Kairatu Kabupaten Seram Bagian Barat," *J. Ilm. Manaj. bisnis dan Inov. Univ. Sam Ratulangi*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.35794/jmbi.v8i3.36755.
- [2] H. Panjaitan, "The Effect of Human Resources Quality and Work Professionalism on Employee Performance at UD. Nacen Rupert Serdang Bedagai," *Int. J. Econ.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 275–281, 2022, doi: 10.55299/ijec.v1i1.173.
- [3] R. M. B. Saña, "El impacto de la digitalización en las Relaciones Laborales. Retos emergentes para la Dirección de Recursos Humanos," *Trabajo*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 182–193, 2020, doi: 10.33776/TRABAJO.V38I1.4625.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31004/riggs.v4i2.1091>

Lisensi: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

- [4] S. Lester, "New Technology and Professional Work," *Prof. Prof.*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.7577/PP.3836.
- [5] B. Trenerry *et al.*, "Preparing Workplaces for Digital Transformation: An Integrative Review and Framework of Multi-Level Factors," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 12, p. 620766, 2021, doi: 10.3389/FPSYG.2021.620766.
- [6] J. M. Leimeister and I. Blohm, "Digitalization and the Future of Work," *Die Unternehmung*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2022, doi: 10.5771/0042-059x-2022-1-1.
- [7] O. Koropec and M. Plutova, "Professional mentality as a component of human development in the digital economy," *Manag. Pers. Intellect. Resour. Russ.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 30–36, 2023, doi: 10.12737/2305-7807-2023-12-2-30-36.
- [8] A.-M. Cazan, "The digitization of working life: Challenges and opportunities," *Psihol. Resur. Um.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 3–6, 2020, doi: 10.24837/PRU.V18I1.457.
- [9] A. A. Choirinisa, "Pengaruh penggunaan aplikasi digital terhadap efektivitas kerja pegawai," *Transekonomika Akunt. Bisnis dan Keuang.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 483–492, 2022, doi: 10.55047/transekonomika.v2i5.239.
- [10] M. V. Fombuena, "El impacto de las tecnologías digitales sobre la organización del trabajo," *E-REVISTA Int. LA Prot. Soc.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 394–415, 2021, doi: 10.12795/E-RIPS.2021.I01.18.
- [11] N. Cheliadinova and V. Kudelya, "Hr management and digitalization in a modern organization," in *Contemporary Issues in Business, Management and Economics Engineering*, 2022. doi: 10.32782/infrastructure69-22.
- [12] O. Кравчук, I. Варіс, and K. Заривних, "Цифрові технології менеджменту персоналу: тенденції та виклики в умовах пандемії covid-19," no. 26, 2021, doi: 10.32782/2524-0072/2021-26-73.
- [13] L. Rakovic, M. Sakal, and P. Matkovic, "Digital workplace: Advantages and challenges," *Anal. Ekon. Fak. u Subotici*, no. 47, pp. 65–78, 2022, doi: 10.5937/anebsub2247065r.
- [14] G. Faldetta, M. L. Frigotto, A. Lazazzara, M. Marchiori, M. P. Iacono, and A. C. Scapolan, "Digital Technologies within and beyond the Workplace: Impacts, Ambiguities, and Transformative Trends," *PuntOorg Int. J.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 81–86, 2021, doi: 10.19245/25.05.PIJ.6.2.1.
- [15] A. P. Lau and M. Höyng, "Digitalization? A Matter of Trust: A Double-Mediation Model Investigating Employee Trust in Management Regarding Digitalization," *Rev. Manag. Sci.*, pp. 1–19, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11846-022-00598-6.
- [16] E. Y. Saatçi and C. Ovaci, "Ready or Not, Here Comes the Digitalization: Assessment of Workforce Readiness and Change Perception," *Istanbul Bus. Res.*, vol. 0, no. 0, p. 0, 2022, doi: 10.26650/ibr.2022.51.806691.
- [17] D. Cetindamar, B. Abedin, and K. Shirahada, "The Role of Employees in Digital Transformation: A Preliminary Study on How Employees' Digital Literacy Impacts Use of Digital Technologies," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 71, pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: 10.1109/tem.2021.3087724.
- [18] A. van der Schaft, X. Lub, B. van der Heijden, and O. Solinger, "How Employees Experience Digital Transformation: A Dynamic And Multi-Layered Sensemaking Perspective," *J. Hosp. Tour. Res.*, p. 109634802211230, 2022, doi: 10.1177/10963480221123098.
- [19] L. Kortmann, J. Simonson, C. Vogel, and O. Huxhold, "Digitalisation and Employees' Subjective Job Quality in the Second Half of Working Life in Germany," *Soc. Indic. Res.*, pp. 1–21, 2021, doi: 10.1007/S11205-021-02854-W.
- [20] F. Eichler, "Tech adoption enables productivity – but it's more than just an IT issue," *Strateg. Hr Rev.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 42–45, 2022, doi: 10.1108/shr-12-2021-0063.
- [21] R. A. Hamid, "The Role of Employees' Technology Readiness, Job Meaningfulness and Proactive Personality in Adaptive Performance," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 23, p. 15696, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su142315696.
- [22] M. K. Bisht, "Automatization as a Challenge for Employment of Skilled Manpower: An Empirical

- Investigation from HRM perspective,” *Pshichology Educ. J.*, vol. 55, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.48047/pne.2018.55.1.58.
- [23] M. Strenitzerová, “Dopad digitalizácie na kvalifikačné potreby zamestnancov,” *Pošta, telekomunikácie a Elektron. Obch.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 10–16, 2022, doi: 10.26552/pte.c.2022.2.2.
- [24] A. A. Авдеева and М. В. Ионцева, “Социально-психологическая адаптация в условиях тенденции перехода на дистанционный формат работы,” *Вестник университета*, no. 7, pp. 193–198, 2021, doi: 10.26425/1816-4277-2021-7-193-198.
- [25] J. Reljic, J. Reljic, R. Evangelista, and M. Pianta, “Digital technologies, employment, and skills,” *Ind. Corp. Chang.*, 2021, doi: 10.1093/ICC/DTAB059.
- [26] E. Lyaskovskaya, “Digitalization, labor market and economic development,” *Vestn. Ūžno-Ural'skogo Gos. Univ.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 192–196, 2022, doi: 10.14529/em220220.
- [27] I. Ouchen and S. Bekkaoui, “Digital transformation, soft skills, the perfect productivity combination!,” *Int. J. Adv. Res.*, vol. 11, no. 04, pp. 1234–1249, 2023, doi: 10.21474/ijar01/16787.
- [28] R. V. Anderton, V. Botelho, and P. Reimers, “Digitalisation and Productivity: Gamechanger or Sideshow?,” *Soc. Sci. Res. Netw.*, 2023, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4382563.
- [29] S. Pandey, “Redesigning employee engagement practices in the digital era,” *Asian J. Manag. Commer.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 235–241, 2023, doi: 10.22271/27084515.2023.v4.i1c.167.
- [30] A. Wallin, P. Nokelainen, and M. Kira, “From Thriving Developers to Stagnant Self-Doubters: An Identity-Centered Approach to Exploring the Relationship Between Digitalization and Professional Development,” *Vocat. Learn.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 285–316, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12186-022-09288-6.
- [31] F. Pusterla, “Decomposing the effects of digitalization on workers’ job satisfaction,” *Int. Rev. Econ.*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 263–300, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12232-022-00392-6.
- [32] G. Cai, “To Study the Employee’s Acceptance of Transformation of Technology Involvement in Business,” *Front. business, Econ. Manag.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 157–160, 2023, doi: 10.54097/fbem.v8i2.7136.
- [33] M. Ben Rehouma, T. Geyer, and T. Kahl, “Investigating Change Management Based on Participation and Acceptance of IT in the Public Sector: A Mixed Research Study,” *Int. J. Public Adm. Digit. Age*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 51–70, 2020, doi: 10.4018/IJPADA.20201001.OA4.
- [34] S. Barnová, M. Duda, M. Matulcikova, G. Gabrhelová, and T. Hrivíková, “Further Professional On-the-Job Training of Employees in the Digital Era,” *Int. J. Eng. Pedagog.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 54–67, 2022, doi: 10.3991/ijep.v12i5.32523.
- [35] A. Bencsik, D. M. Hargitai, and A. Kulachinskaya, “Trust in and Risk of Technology in Organizational Digitalization,” *Risks*, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 90, 2022, doi: 10.3390/risks10050090.
- [36] O. Stanislavyk and O. Kovalenko, “Features of personnel management of enterprises on the basis of digitalization,” *Econ. Finances. Law*, no. 3, pp. 20–24, 2021, doi: 10.37634/EFP.2021.3.4.
- [37] P. M. Schneider and F. J. Sting, “Employees’ Perspectives on Digitalization-Induced Change: Exploring Frames of Industry 4.0,” *Acad. Manag. Discov.*, 2020, doi: 10.5465/AMD.2019.0012.
- [38] N. Kuznetsova, O. Aleinikova, O. Ivashchenko, and O. Sokolova, “The Creative Employment in the Context of Structural Transformations,” *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Dev.*, vol. 2, pp. 21–29, 2023, doi: 10.37394/232029.2023.2.3.
- [39] E. van Laar, A. J. A. M. van Deursen, and J. V van Dijk, “Developing policy aimed at 21st-century digital skills for the creative industries: an interview study with founders and managing directors,” *J. Educ. Work*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 195–209, 2022, doi: 10.1080/13639080.2022.2036710.
- [40] J. Frich, “Comparing Analog and Digital Tools for Collaborative Design Ideation,” *With Des. Reinventing Des. Modes*, pp. 890–906, 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-4472-7_58.
- [41] S. X. Duan, H. Deng, and S. Wibowo, “Technology Affordances for Enhancing Job Performance in Digital Work,” *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, pp. 1–13, 2023, doi: 10.1080/08874417.2023.2188497.

- [42] I. K. Sutarwiyasa, I. N. A. S. Putra, and A. S. Kusuma, "The Impact of Digital Technology on Graphic Designer Profession," *Int. J. Multicult. Multireligious Underst.*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 459, 2022, doi: 10.18415/ijmmu.v9i2.3459.
- [43] N. Jia, X. Luo, Z. Fang, and C. Liao, "When and How Artificial Intelligence Augments Employee Creativity," *Acad. Manag.*, 2023, doi: 10.5465/amj.2022.0426.
- [44] U. Kumar.HM and D. I. Nazeer, "Designing a digital workplace with reference to selected it companies: a study," *J. Emerg. Technol. Innov. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 176–185, 2020, [Online]. Available: <http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2008327.pdf>
- [45] P. Agarwal and G. Lakhera, "Factors Supporting the Digitalization of Business: A Descriptive Study," *Eur. Econ. Lett.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 292–296, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v13i1.170>.
- [46] H. A. A. Emran, "The Implications of Digital Transformation and Its Impact on Human Resource Management Strategies," *East Asian J. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1765–1772, 2023, doi: 10.55927/eajmr.v2i4.3773.
- [47] M. R. Gualano, S. Buttigieg, and U. Moscato, "Editorial: Impact of digitalization on workers' health and work-life balance," *Front. Public Heal.*, vol. 11, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1204465.
- [48] P. Singh, "A study on employee's prosperity at workplace," *J. Emerg. Technol. Innov. Res.*, vol. 8, no. 8, 2021, [Online]. Available: <https://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR2108512>
- [49] J. B. Palad, "Strategies for Improving Organizational Efficiency, Productivity, and Performance through Technology Adoption," *J. Manag. Adm. Provis.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 88–94, 2023, doi: 10.55885/jmap.v2i3.230.
- [50] M. Agarwal, E. Jain, S. K. Sharma, and A. Kumar, "Influence of artificial intelligence on employee engagement and employee behavior," *JIMS 8i Int. J. information, Commun. Comput. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 547–552, 2022, doi: 10.5958/2347-7202.2022.00002.0.
- [51] B. D. Dikici, "Günümüzün Dijitalleşen İşletmelerinde Yeni Liderlik Ve Motivasyon Modelleri Üzerine Karşılaştırmalı Bir Araştırma," *Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi-International J. Soc. Res.*, vol. 15, no. 26, pp. 4185–4207, 2020, doi: 10.26466/OPUS.699386.